

**RESPONSES OF FABA BEAN (*Vicia faba* L.) TO PHOSPHORUS  
FERTILIZER RATES AND PLANT POPULATION AT HARAMAYA  
EASTERN HARARGHE, ETHIOPIA**

**M. Sc. Thesis**

**TOLAMARIAM TARESSA OFGA**

**DECEMBER 2020**

**HARAMAYA UNIVERSITY, HARAMAYA**

**RESPONSES OF FABA BEAN (*Vicia faba* L.) TO PHOSPHORUS  
FERTILIZER RATES AND PLANT POPULATION AT HARAMAYA  
EASTERN HARARGHE, ETHIOPIA**

**A Thesis Submitted to Postgraduate Programs Directorate**

**School of Plant Sciences**

**HARAMAYA UNIVERSITY**

**In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE (AGRONOMY)**

**BY:**

**TOLAMARIAM TARESSA OFGA**

**DECEMBER 2020**

**HARAMAYA UNIVERSITY, HARAMAYA**

**POSTGRADUATE PROGRAM DIRECTORATE**  
**HARAMAYA UNIVERSITY**

We hereby certify that we have read and evaluated this thesis **entitled: “Responses of Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to Phosphorus Fertilizer Rates and Plant Population at Haramaya Eastern Hararghe, Ethiopia”** which has been prepared under my guidance by Tolamariam Taressa; and we recommend that the thesis be accepted as fulfills the requirement.

**Ketema Belete (PhD)**

Major Advisor

Signature

Date

**Kiya Adare (Assistant Prof. MSc)**

Co-Advisor

Signature

Date

As a member of the Board of Examiners of the MSc. Thesis Open Defense Examination, we certify that we have read and evaluated the Thesis prepared by Tolamariam Taressa and examined the candidate. we recommended that the Thesis be accepted as it fulfills the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Agronomy.

Chairperson

Signature

Date

Internal Examiner

Signature

Date

External Examiner

Signature

Date

Final approval and acceptance of the Thesis is contingent up on the submission of its final copy to the Council of Graduate studies (CGS) through the candidates department or school of graduate committee (DGC or SGC).

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to my parents who brought me up and to my beloved brother Firaol Taressa for the contribution he made in my training.

## **STATEMENT OF AUTHOR**

First, I declare that this thesis is my bonafide work and that all sources of materials used for thesis have been duly acknowledged. This thesis has been submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for M.Sc. degree at Haramaya University and is deposited at the University library to be made available to borrowers under rules of the University library. I solemnly declare that this thesis is not submitted to any other institution anywhere for the award of any academic degree, diploma, or certificate.

Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission provided that accurate acknowledgment of sources is made. Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this manuscript in whole or in part may be granted by the Head of the School of Plant Science or the Directorate of Postgraduate Program Directorate when in his or her judgment the proposed use of the material is in the interests of scholarship. In all other instances, however, permission must be obtained from the author.

Tolamariam Taressa Ofga

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Place: Haramaya University

Date of Submission: December 2020

## **BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH**

Mr. Tolamariam Taressa was born on June 6, 1992 in Oda Gudaya, West Shoa of Oromia, Ethiopia. He completed his elementary school (2000-2008) and high School (2008-2012) at Oda Gudaya elementary school and Ambo secondary high school, respectively. He joined Welloga University in 2013 and obtained the Bachelour of Science degree in Agriculture (Plant Science) in June 2015. He was employed by the Haramaya University (HU) College of Agriculture and Environmental science in 2016. He has been serving the University as a Senior Technical Assistant in the School of Plant Sciences, in Agronomy/Pysiology Program. He has been actively participating in Highland Pulse Research Program of HU. He joined the Postgraduate Progaram Studies of Haramaya University of Agriculture in 2018.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

First, I would like to thank God who give me the strength, ability and patience to conduct this thesis. My special thanks go to my major advisor Dr. Ketema Belete, for his commitment and dedication in supervising me throughout my research work. His continuous awareness and encouragement over the whole period of my study was crucial for the completion of this thesis. I benefit tremendously from many of his wise suggestions on conducting research, presenting papers and searching scientific publications. Moreover, his nice treatment makes me always surprising. My appreciation also goes to my co-advisors Kiya Adare (Assistant Professor) for his encouragement, comments and for providing me with reference materials.

I would like to thank Ministry of Science and Higher Education through Haramaya University for the financial support of my thesis. Grateful acknowledgment is also to the staff of the School of Plant Science for their support in carrying out the thesis. My particular gratitude and appreciation go to my parents and my friends for their love, understanding and encouragement who had directly or indirectly contributed for successful completion of this thesis work. Specialy, the continuous and lovely encouragement and support of my brother Firaol Taressa and his family during my study has been the backbone, for which I owe a continuing debt of gratitude.

## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

|         |                                                                  |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BNF     | Biological Nitrogen Fixation                                     |
| CIMMYT  | Centro International Mejoramiento Maize y Trigo                  |
| CSA     | Central Statistical Authority                                    |
| FAO     | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations          |
| FAOSTAT | Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database |
| ICARDA  | International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas  |
| m.a.s.l | Meter above sea level                                            |
| MoA     | Ministry of Agriculture                                          |
| MoANR   | Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources                    |
| TSP     | Triple Super Phosphate                                           |
| WANA    | West Asia and North Africa                                       |



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <b>Contents</b>                                               | <b>Page</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>STATEMENT OF AUTHOR</b>                                    | <b>v</b>    |
| <b>BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH</b>                                    | <b>vi</b>   |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>                                         | <b>vii</b>  |
| <b>ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>                             | <b>viii</b> |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>                                      | <b>ix</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                                         | <b>xii</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF APPENDIXS</b>                                      | <b>xiii</b> |
| <b><i>ABSTRACT</i></b>                                        | <b>xiv</b>  |
| <b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>                                        | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                                   | <b>5</b>    |
| <b>2.1. Origin and Distribution of Faba Bean</b>              | <b>5</b>    |
| <b>2.2.Morphological Description of Faba Bean</b>             | <b>6</b>    |
| <b>2.3. Ecology of faba bean</b>                              | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>2.4. Faba Bean Production in the World and in Ethiopia</b> | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>2.5. Importance of Faba Bean</b>                           | <b>8</b>    |
| 2.5.1. Human consumption                                      | 9           |
| 2.5.2. Animal feed                                            | 10          |
| <b>2.6. Agronomic Potential of faba bean</b>                  | <b>10</b>   |
| 2.6.1. Role in ecosystem sustainability                       | 10          |
| 2.6.2. Role in Crop rotation                                  | 11          |
| 2.6.3. Intercropping                                          | 11          |

*Continues...*

|                                                                                     |           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>2.7. Soil Fertilization</b>                                                      | <b>12</b> |
| 2.7.1. Phosphorus                                                                   | 12        |
| 2.7.2. Effects of phosphorus application on yield and yield components of faba bean | 14        |
| <b>2.8. Importance of Spacing and Optimum Population</b>                            | <b>15</b> |
| <b>2.9. Plant Population in Pulse Crops</b>                                         | <b>16</b> |
| <b>2.10. Effects of Plant Population on Yield Component and Yield of Faba Bean</b>  | <b>17</b> |
| <b>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>                                                     | <b>20</b> |
| <b>3.1. Description of the Experimental Sites</b>                                   | <b>20</b> |
| <b>3.2. Experimental Materials, Treatments and Design</b>                           | <b>20</b> |
| <b>3.3. Agronomic Practices</b>                                                     | <b>22</b> |
| <b>3.4. Soil Sampling and Analysis</b>                                              | <b>22</b> |
| <b>3.5. Data Collection</b>                                                         | <b>23</b> |
| 3.5.1. Phenological parameters                                                      | 23        |
| 3.5.2. Growth related parameters                                                    | 23        |
| 3.5.3. Yield components and yield                                                   | 24        |
| <b>3.6. Data Analysis</b>                                                           | <b>25</b> |
| <b>3.7. Economic Analysis</b>                                                       | <b>25</b> |
| <b>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>                                                    | <b>27</b> |
| <b>4.1. Some Soil Physico-chemical Properties of the Experimental Site</b>          | <b>27</b> |
| <b>4.2. Phenological Parameters</b>                                                 | <b>28</b> |
| 4.2.1. Days to 50% flowering                                                        | 28        |
| 4.2.2. Days to 90% physiological maturity                                           | 29        |

*Continues...*

|                                                         |           |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 4.2.3. Total number of nodules per plant:               | 30        |
| 4.2.4. Number of Effective nodule (ENN) per plant       | 31        |
| 4.2.5. Stand count at emergence and harvest             | 32        |
| 4.2.6. Plant height                                     | 33        |
| 4.2.7. Total leaf area                                  | 35        |
| 4.2.8. Leaf area index                                  | 35        |
| 4.2.9. Number of primary tillers per plant              | 36        |
| <b>4.3. Yield Components and Yield</b>                  | <b>38</b> |
| 4.3.1. Number of pods per plant                         | 38        |
| 4.3.2. Number of seeds per pod                          | 39        |
| 4.3.3. Above ground dry biomass yield (DBY)             | 40        |
| 4.3.4. Hundred seed weight                              | 42        |
| 4.3.5. Seed moisture content (%)                        | 43        |
| 4.3.6. Grain yield per hectares ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) | 44        |
| 4.3.7. Harvest index (HI%)                              | 46        |
| <b>4.4. Partial Budget Analysis</b>                     | <b>50</b> |
| <b>5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>                        | <b>51</b> |
| <b>6. REFERENCES</b>                                    | <b>53</b> |
| <b>7. APPENDIXS</b>                                     | <b>69</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table                                                                                                                                                                      | Page |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1. Details of treatment combination and plant population                                                                                                                   | 21   |
| 2. Soil characteristics of the experimental site                                                                                                                           | 27   |
| 3. Effects of phosphorus rate and plant population on number of days to 50 % flowering (DTF) and 90% maturity (DTM) of faba bean.                                          | 29   |
| 4. Effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on total number of nodules (TNN) and number of effective nodules (ENN) per plant of faba bean.                           | 31   |
| 5. Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on total number of nodules of faba bean                                                                           | 32   |
| 6. Effects of phosphorus rate and plant population on stand count at emergence (SCE), stand count at harvest (SCH) and plant height (PH) of faba bean.                     | 34   |
| 7. Effect of phosphorus rates and plant population on total leaf area (TLA), leaf area index (LAI) and number of primary tillers (NPB) per plant of faba bean.             | 37   |
| 8. Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on leaf area index of faba bean                                                                                   | 37   |
| 9. Effects of phosphorus rate and plant population on number of pods per plant (PPP), number of seeds per pod (SPP) and above ground dry biomass yield (DBM) of faba bean. | 41   |
| 10. Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on seed per pod of faba bean.                                                                                    | 42   |
| 11. Effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on hundred seed weight (100SW) and seed moisture content percent.                                                       | 44   |
| 12. Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on hundred seed weight of faba bean                                                                              | 44   |
| 13. Effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on yield kilogram per hectare (Y kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) and harvest index (HI) of faba bean.                             | 48   |
| 14. Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on harvest index (%) of faba bean                                                                                | 48   |
| 15. Partial budget analysis for phosphorus application rate and plant population per hectare of faba bean at HU.                                                           | 49   |

## LIST OF APPENDIXS

| <b>Appendix Table</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <b>page</b> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1    Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for phosphorus and plant population on number of days to 50% flowering (DTF), number of days to 90% maturity (DTM), leaf area (LA), leaf area index (LAI), and stand count at emergence (SCE) respectively of faba bean.             | 69          |
| 2    Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for phosphorus and plant population on total number of nodules (TNN), effective number of nodules (ENN) and Plant height (PH (cm)) respectively of faba bean.                                                                        | 69          |
| 3    Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for phosphorus and plant population on number of primary branches (NPB), percent of seed moisture contents, pods per plant (PPP) and seeds per pod (SPP) respectively of faba bean.                                                  | 70          |
| 4    Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for phosphorus and plant population on above ground dry biomass yield (DBY_ kgha <sup>-1</sup> ), hundred seed weight (100SW), yield kilogram per hectare (Y_ kgha <sup>-1</sup> ) and harvest index (HI) respectively of faba bean. | 70          |
| 5    Total costs for each treatment per hectare in Ethiopian birr (ETB) for faba bean.                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 71          |

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to study the responses of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to phosphorus fertilizer rates and plant population at Haramaya University, Rare research site during 2019/2020 cropping season. Factorial combinations of four phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ) levels (0, 23, 46, and 69 kg/ha) and six plant populations (133,333; 166,667; 200,000; 222,222; 250,000 and 300,000 plants  $ha^{-1}$  respectively) were tested. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) replicated three times. Improved faba bean variety (Gachena) was used as a test crop. Phenological parameters, growth, yield and yield components were measured and analyzed using ANOVA. Treatment means with significant differences were separated using least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% probability level. There was highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect of rate of phosphorus on number of days to flowering and maturity, leaf area, leaf area index, effective nodules per plant, plant height, number of primary tillers  $plant^{-1}$ , number of seeds  $pod^{-1}$ , hundred seed weight, seed moisture content, grain yield, dry biomass yield and harvest index. The shortest period to flowering (54.83 days) after emergence and maximum plant height (189.7 cm), leaf area ( $58.42\text{ cm}^2$ ), number of pods per plant (26.34), number of seeds  $pod^{-1}$  (3.378), dry biomass yield ( $15978\text{ kg } ha^{-1}$ ), hundred seed weight (74.22 g) and harvest index (20.32%) were obtained from the highest rate of P (69 kg of  $P_2O_5\text{ } ha^{-1}$ ), but the highest grain yield ( $2984\text{ kg } ha^{-1}$ ) was obtained from 46 kg of  $P_2O_5\text{ } ha^{-1}$ . For all plant populations, leaf area index, number of primary tillers, and pods  $plant^{-1}$ , number of seeds  $pod^{-1}$  and hundred seed weight increased as the rate of phosphorus increase and the highest leaf area index (3.376), number of primary tillers per plant (3.278) and hundred seed weight (73.88 g) were recorded for the widest spacing (50 x 15 cm) or for a population density of 133,333 plants  $ha^{-1}$  while the highest total number of nodules (155.2) and number of effective nodules (16.67) resulted from 250,000 plants  $ha^{-1}$  (40 x 10 cm). On the other hand, the interaction of plant population and phosphorus significantly influenced number of seeds per  $pod^{-1}$ , above ground dry biomass yield, hundred seed weight, grain yield and harvest index. A population density of 300,000 plants  $ha^{-1}$  (30 x 10 cm) gave the highest dry biomass yield ( $15676\text{ kg } ha^{-1}$ ) and grain yield ( $2893\text{ kg } ha^{-1}$ ), whereas the highest harvest index (22.07%) and number of pods  $plant^{-1}$  (26.9) were obtained from 133,333 plants  $ha^{-1}$  (50 cm x 15 cm). Partial budget analyse was also used to analyze the economic benefit gained from the treatments. Thus, it can be concluded that application of 46 kg of  $P_2O_5\text{ } ha^{-1}$  at 30 x 10 cm plant spacing proved to be superior with respect to grain yield on fluvisols of Haramaya area. However, further study is required at least for one more cropping season on different soils to reach at a conclusive recommendation.

**Keyword:** Crop phenology, dry matter and grain yield, growth, yield components

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses are important food crops due to their high protein and essential amino acid content. The seeds of pulse crops are typically made up of 20 to 25% as compared to 6 to 10% protein content in major cereal crops. Pulses are also rich in dietary fiber and usually have only small amounts of oil. The protein of pulse seeds is high in amino acids; lysine and methionine, making pulses nutritionally complementary to cereals, which are deficient in these two essential amino acids. Pulses are the main source of protein in the diet of vegetarians, and feature prominently in the traditional cuisine of virtually every region of the globe (Sitou and Mywish, 2011).

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) is one of the oldest crops in the world most probably domesticated in the late Neolithic period (Metayer, 2004). It is also the important legume crop grown primarily for its edible seeds (beans), which is mainly cultivated for its protein content (on average 30%) in Africa and central Asia. Its popularity has increased recently as its high yield makes it attractive to produce, while its high protein content and low-price makes it attractive to the consumer. It has also a valuable agronomic function of N<sub>2</sub> fixation and the ability to facilitate diversification of the agro-ecosystems by indirectly enhancing associated diversity of wild fauna (Köpke and Nemecek, 2010). Its key environmental service is providing a source of nectar and pollen to pollinators and beneficial insects. The interest in this crop has been renewed, because of decline in the pollinators' worldwide (Duc *et al.*, 2014).

Faba bean is one of the most globally important legume crops. Its global acreage declined from 3.7 to 2.1 million ha between 1980 and 2014, and yields are highly variable within specific countries (FAO, 2017). The global production of faba bean grain in 2014 was 4.1 million tons, which was approximately 21% greater than in 1994 (FAO, 2017). In North Africa, the area under faba bean cultivation remains stagnant during the last past five decades, but its production has increased from 405,000 tons in 1961-65 to 605,000 tons in 2011-2014. In North Africa, the major faba bean consuming countries are Egypt, Morocco, and Sudan, which are importing 0.34 MT with a value of 320 million USD and West Asia region imports 93589 tons with a value of 65 million USD in 2013 (FAOSTAT, 2016).

Although global faba bean acreage and production has experienced a steady reduction over the past decades, demand for the crop in the world market led to its increased production in Australia and France (Brown *et al.*, 2009). Its production for food and feed was 4.5 million tons worldwide in 2012 and is now widespread in Europe, North Africa, Central Asia, China, South America, the USA, Canada, and Australia. The main faba bean producers are China (1.65 Mt), Ethiopia (0.61 Mt), France (0.44 Mt), Egypt (0.29 Mt), and Australia (0.19 Mt) (FAOSTAT, 2017).

Faba bean is one of the most important grain legumes in Ethiopia in terms of area, production, source of protein and as a rotation crop ameliorating soil fertility. Ethiopia is one of the largest producers of faba bean in the world second only to China (Hawtin and Hebblethwaite, 1983). The country is considered as the secondary center of diversity and also one of the nine major agro-geographical production regions of faba bean (Telaye, 1994). The crop is produced in many parts of Ethiopia and it thrives best in cool, moist areas having an altitude range of 1800-3000 m.a.s.l. However, faba bean utilization and production have been declining in the last decades (by 50% between 1960 and 2010) due to biotic and abiotic factors and replacement of traditional cropping systems by industrialized cereal-based systems (Jensen *et al.*, 2010).

Faba bean ranks first in its production volume and cultivated land among pulse crops cultivated in Ethiopia and it is valuable as the cheap source of protein in most Ethiopian diet (Hailu *et al.*, 2014). According to the Central Statistical Agency (CSA, 2017/18) reported, faba bean is the first among pulse crops cultivated in Ethiopia. Pulses covered 12.61% (1,598,806.51 hectares) of the grain crop area and 9.73% (about 29,785,880.89 quintals) of the grain production was drawn from the same crops. Faba beans, haricot beans (red), and chick peas were planted to 3.45% (about 437,106.04 ha<sup>-1</sup>), 1.71% (about 216,803.91 hectares) and 1.91% (about 242,703.73 hectares) of the grain crop area respectively (CSA, 2018).

In East Africa, Ethiopia is the major consumer and producer of faba bean. The area under faba bean grains has increased from 348,400 ha in 1993-1995 to 471,700 hectares in 2011-2014 with yield increase from 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> to 1.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Although many countries in



North Africa and the Nile valley region imports faba bean, Ethiopia exported 0.04 MT of faba bean with a total of 25 million USD in 2013 (FAOSTAT, 2016). Despite its immense economic and ecological merits, productivity of faba bean in Ethiopia is far below the potential due to a number of biotic and abiotic constraints, and inadequate use of improved production technologies. Productions have been constrained by several yield limiting and reducing factors. The limiting natural resources of land and water, in addition to climate change, also have put high pressure on ongoing breeding programs of faba bean (Pandey *et al.*, 2016).

An appropriate plant density is a factor, which affects growth, development and grain productivity per unit area in almost all crops, including faba bean. Plant density has a remarkable capacity to exploit the environment with varying competitive stresses. High plant density may cause lodging, less light penetration in the crop canopy, reduced photosynthetic efficiency and can reduce the yield drastically. In contrast, low plant density may result in low yield, more weed infestation, and poor radiation-use efficiency (Lemerle *et al.*, 2006). It has been reported that among the various package of improved production technologies, proper plant populations with appropriate adjustment of inter and intra-row spacing play a key role in enhancing faba bean production (Gezahegn *et al.*, 2016). Optimum plant density varies across locations have as different location has different soil types, soil moisture, soil fertility and relative humidity (Elhag, 2014).

Faba bean is able to fix nitrogen and it is used in crop rotation. Like the other members of Fabaceae, faba bean also increases the humus in the soil. Depending on the plant density and field management, the crop is able to fix up to 40 kg/ha nitrogen annually. Despite the importance of the crop in the traditional farming systems, the yield is generally low due to several factors, including poor soil fertility and inadequate plant nutrition, sub-optimal weed control, and lack of improved varieties (Getachew, 2011).

Phosphorus is, in fact, the most important growth-limiting nutrient for pulses including faba bean (Amare *et al.*, 1999). Phosphorus deficiency leads to a general reduction in most metabolic processes, including cell division, cell expansion, respiration, and photosynthesis

(Epstein, 1972). Besides, in Vertisols of Ethiopian highlands, phosphorous is fixed and its non-availability is a limiting factor for better crop growth and development.

Soil fertility is sub-optimal for the production of faba bean in Ethiopian highlands. Phosphorus deficiency has also been shown to be the major yield limiting nutrients in the highlands of Ethiopia (Bereket *et al.*, 2011) particularly soils of many areas in highlands that receive high rainfall suffer from remarkable phosphorus deficiencies due to phosphorus fixation at low pH. Phosphorous fertilizer application at an optimum level is necessary to improve the yield of faba bean. Indeed, there is a need to evaluate the performance of faba bean in varying plant populations and determine optimum density as well as optimum phosphorus fertilizer rates of the crop for maximum yield. So far, no works have been conducted on the determination of optimum phosphorus fertilizer rate for the production of faba bean at Haramaya University, Ethiopia.

Therefore, this study was initiated with the following objectives:

- ✚ To evaluate the responses of different rates of phosphorus fertilizer and plant population on yield related traits and yield of faba bean and
- ✚ To determine economically optimum rate of phosphorus for faba bean production.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1. Origin and Distribution of Faba Bean

Faba bean (2n=12) belongs to the order Fables and the genus belongs to the Fabaceae family together with *Lathyrus*, *Lens* and *Pisum* (Smýkal *et al.*, 2015). Cultivated forms are herbaceous annuals, which are indeterminate in growth habit. Faba bean is also referred to as a broad bean, horse bean or field bean (Sainte, 2011). Faba bean is an ancient crop and numerous studies have been performed on its origin and domestication. The Mediterranean and Central Asian regions are regarded as the probable centers of origin and domestication of faba bean (Singh, 2002). Cubero (2011) suggested a Near Eastern center of origin with four different radiations: to Europe; along the North African coast to Spain; along the Nile to Ethiopia; from Mesopotamia to India. Secondary centers of diversity are postulated to have occurred in Afghanistan and Ethiopia.

The migration of faba bean to South America, probably during the fifteenth century, occurred with the Spanish and Portuguese sailors (Duc *et al.*, 2015). The time of introduction of faba bean in China is uncertain (Wang *et al.*, 2012). Zong *et al.* (2009) reported that winter faba bean from China “appears to be in a different gene pool from the rest of the world” reproductively isolated from the European, African and Asian gene pools. The relatively recent development of winter faba beans occurred in Europe, in the nineteenth century, as a hybridization result of Russian and French small seeded populations (Duc *et al.*, 2015).

Ethiopia is, undoubtedly, considered as one of the important centers of secondary diversity for faba bean (Asfaw *et al.*, 1994). However, areas of eco-geographic distribution and the micro-center of genetic diversity are not well known. The existence of more genetic diversity in one region compared to the other could be due to differences in the level of variability among the original introductions to different regions, the nature and degree of both human and natural selections after introduction, and effects of ecological and agricultural conditions as major forces of evolution.

Agricultural biodiversity, comprising all the elements from gene to agricultural ecosystems, is one of the principal components of natural resources (Chahal and Gosal *et al.*, 2002; and Singh 2002), even though it is often treated separately from other natural resources in many parts of the world including Ethiopia. It is assumed that the crops were brought to Ethiopia directly from Central Asia immediately after domestication and have been grown since antiquity (Dawit *et al.*, 1994).

## **2.2.Morphological Description of Faba Bean**

Faba bean is a cool season annual legume (Bilalis *et al.*, 2003) that forms coarse, upright, hollow, and unbranched stem(s) from the base, and grows between 0.1 and 2 m tall (Bond *et al.*, 1985; Duc *et al.*, 2015; Heuzé *et al.*, 2016). Stem growth is indeterminate, and some cultivars are prone to lodging. The leaves are alternate, pinnate, and consist of two to six leaflets, which are up to 8 cm long without tendrils (Bond *et al.*, 1985). The flowers have a typically papilionaceous structure and are grouped in inflorescences; they are either pure white in color or with diffuse anthocyanin pigmentation on all petals, while black spots are often present on the wing petals (Bond *et al.*, 1985; Duc *et al.*, 2015; Heuzé *et al.*, 2016).

Seeds, which vary considerably in size, are oblong to broadly oval with a prominent hilum at the end; their color can be yellow, green, brown, black, or violet, and sometimes seeds are spotted (Nozzolillo *et al.*, 1989; Duc *et al.*, 2015). Faba bean plants feature a robust taproot with frequent lateral root branching from the top of the tap-root; nitrogen-fixing nodules containing rhizobia occur on both the tap and lateral roots (Bond *et al.*, 1985). Root traits of European accessions vary profoundly (Zhao *et al.*, 2018), and are largely influenced by the tillage regime (Muñoz-Romero *et al.*, 2011). Faba bean is generally considered day-neutral, while some accessions require long-day conditions in order to flower.

### 2.3. Ecology of faba bean

Faba bean is widely grown in mid land and high-altitude areas. It is wide adaptations grows well between 1800-2600 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l). The crop also does a well in some areas as high as 3000 meters above sea level that receive well distributed average rainfall of 700-1000 mm throughout the growing season (Amare, 1990; Tilahun *et al.*, 2013). Faba bean requires a cool season for the best development. It is a hardy crop and can withstand rough climates, especially cold ones. It is grown as a winter annual in warm temperate and subtropical areas; hardier cultivars in the Mediterranean region tolerate winter temperatures of -10°C without serious injury whereas the hardiest European cultivars can tolerate up to -15°C (Robertson *et al.*, 1996). It can be grown anywhere and does not winterkill. Well-adapted to wetter portions of cereal-growing areas of western Canada and elsewhere. It tolerates nearly any soil type; grows best on rich loams. A moderate moisture supply is necessary (Duke, 1981). It is considered to be the least drought resistant of legume crops; however, cultivars with high water use efficiency have been developed at ICARDA (Robertson *et al.*, 1996). Moisture requirement is highest about 9-12 weeks after establishment. Faba bean is more tolerant of acid soil conditions than most legumes. Growing seasons should have little or no excessive heat, optimum temperatures for production range from 18 to 27°C (65-85°F)" (Duke, 1981). The maturity period ranges from 90-200 days depending upon the cultivars and climatic conditions (Bond *et al.*, 1985). Faba bean tolerates frost better than other pulses.

### 2.4. Faba Bean Production in the World and in Ethiopia

Faba bean is the world's most important legume. In Ethiopia, faba bean is the crop that has the highest absolute production and the largest area cultivated. Ethiopia is also the second largest producer of faba bean in the world next to China (Ronner and Giller, 2012). Faba bean is the most important pulse crop in terms of area coverage and total annual production in Ethiopia. This crop has manifold advantages in the economic lives of the farming community in the high lands of the country. It is a source of food, feed, cash to farmers and also play a significant role in soil fertility practices. However, currently its share in the countries pulse export is small.

Faba bean is one of the major pulse crops occupying about 35% both in terms of area coverage and volume of the annual production of all pulses produced in the country and grown in the highlands (1800 to 3000 meter above sea level) of Ethiopia (Gemechu *et al.*, 2003). Ethiopia is a country of great eco-geographical diversity with high and rugged mountains (EMA, 1988). This eco-geographic diversity might have resulted in diverse crop germplasm in general (Vavilov, 1951), including those of faba bean.

Previous reports show that faba bean diversity in Ethiopia may not be uniformly distributed across eco-geographic regions but rather concentrated in a particular region depending on ecological, agricultural, and socio-cultural conditions in the area (Chahal and Gosal, 2002). According to Singh, (2002) reported that small areas within the large center of diversity, called micro-centers, may exhibit a much greater diversity than the center as a whole.

Faba bean in Ethiopia: widely cultivated throughout the highland areas of the country and requires an altitude of 1800 and 3000 meters above sea level (Senayit and Asrat, 1994). Estimated at 437,106.04 hectares; with a total production of 1,598,806.5 tons and average productivity of 3.01 tons per hectare (CSA, 2018). Over 90% of the total faba bean land is cultivated by small scale farmers using traditional practices and the major producing regions are Amhara, Oromia, Tigray, and some part of Southern Ethiopian highlands (Senayit and Asrat, 1994). Faba bean is also commonly growing in wide regions of Northern Gonder, Northern Wello, Southern Shewa, Northern Shewa, Bale and Arsi region. Even though it is generally believed that the Ethiopian faba bean landraces have valuable genetic diversity (Harlan, 1969; Van der Maesen *et al.*, 1988; Engels and Hawkes, 1991), the eco-geographic distribution and micro-centers of genetic diversity are not yet well known.

## **2.5. Importance of Faba Bean**

As a global food crop, faba bean plays an important role in the nutrition of millions of people in the world particularly in the Middle East, the Mediterranean region, China, and Ethiopia. Ethiopia is second to China in faba bean production area but fourth in productivity per unit area after Egypt, Sudan and China in the world (Abdalla *et al.*, 2014).

Cultivated faba bean is used as human food in developing countries and as animal feed, mainly for pigs, horses, poultry and pigeons in industrialized countries. Faba bean seed, generally recognized of good nutritional value, has a long history of uses in human foods or animal feeds. This is the result of its valuable and digestible major seed components, starch, and proteins. It offers a low-cost alternative to beef and milk because the seed is rich in protein, iron, fibers, and complex carbohydrates (Torres *et al.*, 2006; Madani *et al.*, 2008). It can be used as a vegetable, either green or dried, fresh or canned.

Faba bean has been considered as a meat extender or substitute and as a skim-milk substitute. Sometimes grown for green manure, but more generally for stock feed. Large-seeded cultivars are used as a vegetable. Roasted seeds are eaten like peanuts in India" (Duke, 1981). Straw from faba bean harvest fetches a premium in Egypt and Sudan and is considered as a cash crop (Bond *et al.*, 1985). The straw can also be used for brick making and as a fuel in parts of Sudan and Ethiopia.

### **2.5.1. Human consumption**

The most profitable use for pulses is the human consumption market. It is generally consumed whole, canned, split and/or milled into flour. The main uses are: Cooked or baked whole beans are used in soups, purées, baked goods, snack foods, and breakfast foods, or can be cooked into a thick gruel. Ground – uncooked beans ground with other ingredients make falafel or tameya. Sprouts – sprouted for use in salads and stir-fries. Fresh sold fresh in the pod or frozen. Faba bean is also a valuable source of amino acids, being particularly rich in the essential amino acids' arginine, lysine, and leucine, at up to 67 g kg<sup>-1</sup> dry matter, the crude protein content of faba bean ranges from 24–31% (Koivunen *et al.*, 2016). Faba bean is a good source of carbohydrate and protein and is low in fat. Carbohydrate is mainly starch. They are also high in fiber. (Robinson and Raynes, 2013). Faba bean meet all adult human requirements for essential amino acids except methionine and tryptophan. They also provide the recommended daily allowance of all essential minerals except calcium (Lines *et al.*, 2013; McMurray, and Jeisman, 2013).

### **2.5.2. Animal feed**

Pulses are a valuable stock feed due to their high protein levels and palatability and can be used as part of intensive livestock rations or as supplements for paddock reared stock.

Faba bean is used in the aquaculture, pig, poultry and horse industries as a source of protein as an alternative to field pea, fishmeal, lupin, soybean meal, and other protein supplements (Brand *et al.*, 2016). Faba bean is highly digestible and the metabolizable energy for pigs, poultry, and ruminants is similar to lupin, field pea and soybean meal. They can correct the deficiencies in cereal stubbles or dry feed better than cereal grains. However, they are not as low in starch or as high in fiber as lupin, so they must be introduced gradually and fed regularly to avoid grain poisoning. Faba bean produces excellent weight gain in sheep and cattle. Cattle are unable to pick up spilled seed from smaller pulses, / but can manage faba bean pulse Australia (2016).

## **2.6. Agronomic Potential of faba bean**

### **2.6.1. Role in ecosystem sustainability**

The increasing use of fertilizers has affected the nitrogen (N) cycle, resulting in a high concentration of reactive N in the biosphere, with negative consequences on the climate, ecosystems, and health (Galloway *et al.*, 2003).

Cropping systems with legumes reduce nitrous oxide emissions and have positive phytosanitary effects, with higher environmental benefits, than cropping systems without legumes (Reckling *et al.*, 2016).

Systems involving faba bean such as, for example, crop rotation or intercropping, which have the capacity to capture atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> are cheaper and a more sustainable alternative than conventional agriculture (Köpke and Nemecek, 2010; Carranca, 2013). Consequently, the N benefit from faba bean to subsequent crops is often high.



### **2.6.2. Role in Crop rotation**

Crop rotation is planned succession of crops of different genus or species. The rotation may vary from two or three years, aiming to balance nutrient demands, soil structure improvement and reduction of pest populations, diseases, and weeds (Carranca, 2013). In rotation, faba bean can be used between the seasons of cereal (wheat, winter barley and silage maize) or other cash crops (Carranca *et al.*, 1999; Amanuel *et al.*, 2000; Paull *et al.*, 2011).

Faba bean should not be used in successive years in order to avoid yield losses mainly due to fungal infections. The minimum number of break years required to reduce the risk of fungal infections is considered to be 4 years (Stoddart *et al.*, 2010). Faba bean acts as a break crop in intensive cereal dominated crop rotations and so, it is a key species for enhancing the sustainability of the agro ecosystems (Jensen *et al.*, 2010; Köpke and Nemecek, 2010).

Growers also need to consider the beneficial impact of faba bean on subsequent cereal yields and weed control options even if the faba bean crop performs poorly (Lamb, 2004). It plays an essential role in crop rotation due to the ability to fix N, and also able to provide a significant level of N from the soil air using a symbiotic relationship with *Rhizobium* bacteria.

### **2.6.3. Intercropping**

Intercropping is the practice of growing simultaneously two or more compatible crops in close proximity to promote interaction between them and increase productivity per unit of land (Carranca, 2013). It is a widely distributed practice due to its high and more stable productivity in a wide range of crop combinations (Pelzer *et al.*, 2012; Carranca, 2013). Intercropping may minimize crop failure due to adverse effects of biotic and abiotic factors (Jensen *et al.*, 2010; Fernández-Aparicio *et al.*, 2011), protect soil against erosion, provide a good weed control (Wolfe *et al.*, 2013), improve the use of limited resources, increase the stability of yield and provide higher returns (Tilman *et al.*, 2006; Agegnehu *et al.*, 2008). Intercropping is common in the tropics (Agegnehu *et al.*, 2008; Lithourgidis *et al.*, 2011).

and China (Fan *et al.*, 2006), but is less common in temperate climates, because high input monocropping with precise applications of fertilizers and pesticides has higher revenues (Köpke and Nemecek, 2010; Schroder and Köpke, 2012). However, intercropping is becoming more used for low input and organic agriculture systems (Köpke and Nemecek, 2010). The most significant advantage of grain legumes in intercropping is that nitrogen fixed by the legume may be transferred to a non-legume intercropping partner (Jamontet *et al.*, 2013). Faba bean has been intercropped with oil crops, as for example oilseed rape (Jamontet *et al.*, 2013). Intercropping faba bean with rapeseed resulted in complimentary use of N resources and the complementary root architecture between the two crops reduces plant competition for the N-soil at the beginning of their development (Jamontet *et al.*, 2013). On the other hand, it was found that maize promotes N<sub>2</sub> fixation in the intercropping system with faba bean and recently, Li *et al.* (2016) explained the reason for that. It was demonstrated the facilitative root-root interactions that constitute a mechanism for a positive relationship between productivity and plant diversity in ecosystems.

## 2.7. Soil Fertilization

Nitrogen fertilization is not generally required, but the application of “starter” nitrogen fertilization at a rate of 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seems to enhance the nodulation process in faba bean plants (Mohamed and Babiker, 2012). Furthermore, legume biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) is energy intensive process that requires large amounts of phosphorus (P). Thus, P fertilization at a rate of 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> can often enhance the nodulation process and N<sub>2</sub> fixation, and increase yield (Bolland *et al.*, 2000; Adak and Kibritci, 2016). Several studies show that faba bean responds to S and K fertilization (Sangakkara *et al.*, 1996; Niewiadomska *et al.*, 2015). Nevertheless, S or K fertilizers are rarely applied, because faba bean is cultivated as a low-input crop. Furthermore, micronutrient (e.g., zinc and boron) deficiencies are rare and can easily be corrected through foliar sprays.

### 2.7.1. Phosphorus

Phosphorus (P) is the second most important macro-nutrient after N that plays a significant role in physiological and biochemical reactions such as photosynthesis and transfer characteristics (Mehrvarz *et al.*, 2008). It is also a part of the structure of DNA, RNA, ATP,

and phospholipids in membranes. The chemical weathering of inorganic P results in the release of plant- available orthophosphate ( $\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$  ).

This reaction is slow and very little plant-available P derived from this source is present in the soil solution at any time (Sims and Sharpley, 2005). Phosphorus is also a non-renewable natural resource and, Phosphorus utilization in crop production is inefficient due to low P solubility and mobility in the soil (Fixen and Bruulsema, 2014). Phosphorus is an important plant nutrient for pulse crops. It promotes the development of extensive root systems and vigorous seedlings. Encouraging vigorous root growth is an important step in promoting good nodule development. It also plays an important role in the N fixing process and in promoting earlier, more uniform maturity. Phosphorus is the first major limiting nutrient in legume crops production because an adequate amount of P nutrition enhances the physiological activities of legume crops including stimulation of early root formation, growth, nodulation, photosynthesis, and flowering (Giller *et al.*, 1994).

From the essential plant nutrients, nitrogen and phosphorus are often deficient in many soils of tropical Africa as well as in many Ethiopian soils (Ngugi, 1982). In the tropics, the amount of available P in soils is largely insufficient to meet the demand of legumes and thus phosphorus deficiency is widespread in pulse crops (Rao *et al.*, 1998).

Phosphorus deficiency leads to a general reduction in most metabolic processes, including cell division, cell expansion, respiration and photosynthesis (Marschner, 1995). This low P fertility may contribute to reduced stand vigor, poor nodulation and growth, and ultimately lower yield. It is known that P nutrition plays a prime role in growth and development of roots and its role in nodulation, dry matter production, N fixation, and protein synthesis of leguminous crops is vital (Tekle *et al.*, 2015), though the nutrition for N is met through rhizobia fixation of atmospheric nitrogen.

Totally, one of the most important effects of P on the yield of faba bean is its low efficiency in soil due to low solubility and absorption. Phosphorus is considered the second essential nutrient element for both the plants and microorganisms. In spite of the considerable addition of phosphorus to soil, the amount available for the plant is usually low. Phosphate

dissolving bacteria and soil microorganisms can play an important role in improving plant growth and phosphate uptake efficiency by releasing P from rock or tri-calcium phosphate. Microorganisms can play an important role in the availability of phosphorus in calorific and nutritive value especially in the diet of low soils. Egyptian Government is pressing hard to plant in the presence of phosphate dissolving increase the yield and quality of faba bean plant through microorganisms (Salem and EL-Nakhlawy, 1987).

### **2.7.2. Effects of phosphorus application on yield and yield components of faba bean**

Al- Farhan and Al-Rawi (2002) reported that improving agricultural practices such as fertilization with phosphate dissolving bacteria enhance crop growth, phosphorus, seed inoculation with phosphate dissolving improve seed and straw yields, and increase nutrient bacteria and foliar application with zinc uptake. In a field experiment on the faba bean, (Abou-Salama and Dawood, 1994) found that increases in P up to  $90 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  could increase yield production. The studies of Selim and El-Seessy, (1991) on faba bean showed that the effect of  $240 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  calcium superphosphate using was less than controls on yield, whilst  $360 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  from this fertilizer reduced the yield. For the improvement of solubility and absorption, the best P-resource should be identified and apply to faba bean (Ahmed, and El-Abagy 2007). It is believed that the reason for the nonsignificant effect of P on the yield is the antagonistic interaction between P and microelements (Towshih and Sadri, 2003).

The increased plant node number, plant length and the yield due to more TSP application. Since the flowers of faba bean produced on the nodes, thus the presence of more nodes and length in plants results in more yield. The seed dry matter and the grain protein was not affected by amounts and type of P fertilizers, but plant dry matter in TSP treatment was better. Work published by Jensen *et al.* (2010) found that phosphorus uptake rate follows (or regulates) dry matter production of the crop. They also found that faba bean plants are more responsive to phosphorus at maturity than at the seedling stage.

This is thought to be due to the high levels of phosphorus reserves in the faba bean seed. According to Shubhashree, (2007) applications of different rates of phosphorus fertilizer influence the number of pods per plant. Veeresh (2003) observed a significant number of pods per plant of faba bean at the application rate of  $75 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ . Also, Singh *et al.*

(2000) reported a significant increase in the number of pods per plant, due to increased P fertilization.

Thus, the increment of the number of pods per plant due to the application of P fertilizer confirms with P fertilizer promotes the formation of nodes and pods in legumes (Buttery, 1969). According to Angaw and Asnakew, (1994) application of P at the rates of 13, 26, 39 and 52 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> was significantly and linearly increased seed yield with advantages of 23, 32, 38 and 48%, respectively compared to the control treatment. It was also reported that the highest yield was obtained at the rate of 52 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to other rates. Grain yield was significantly affected by the application of P fertilizer and have got a significant effect on faba bean yield and agronomic parameters at the rate of 90 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> as compared to control treatment Asgelil, (2000). Majumdar *et al.* (1985) found that increasing of sulfur, P, and zinc caused the significant increase in seed yield, nitrogen concentration, sulfur, and phosphorus in seeds, stem, and leaves of faba bean. The application of NP significantly increased seed yields of faba bean (Angaw and Asnake, 1994; Amare *et al.*, 1999).

## **2.8. Importance of Spacing and Optimum Population**

Singh and Singh (2002) explained that the establishment of an optimum population per unit area in the field is essential to get maximum yield. Population density is also dependant on the moisture availability and nutrient status of the soil. Under conditions of sufficient soil moisture and nutrients, the higher population is necessary to utilize all the growth factors efficiently.

The level of plant population should be such that maximum solar radiation is utilized. The full yield potential of an individual plant is fully exploited when sown at wider spacing. Yield per plant decreases gradually as the plant population per unit area increases. However, the yield per unit area is increased due to the efficient utilization of growth factors. High plant density brings out certain modifications in the growth of plants example, increase in plant height, reduction in leaf thickness, alteration in leaf orientation, and leaves become erect, narrow and are arranged at longer vertical intervals to intercept more sun

light (Singh and Singh, 2002). According to Reddy (2000), both too narrow and too wide spacing do affect grain yields through competition and due to the effect of shading.

In the case of too wide spacing, yield reduction can occur due to in efficient utilization of the growth factors. Normally, as density increases yield also increases proportionally but after certain level, it declines (Azam-Ali and Squire, 2002). The spacing between stands per hectare in turn determines the number of stands per hectare (Onwueme and Sinha, 1991). The number of stands per hectare, and the number of plants per stand together determine the number of plants per hectare, or the plant density. A number of factors also influence spacing: fertility status of the soil, growth pattern of the crop and cultural practices (Martin *et al.*, 1976).

Crop plants should cover the soil as early as possible to intercept maximum sunlight to produce higher dry matter as the intercepted solar radiation and dry matter production are directly related. Closely spaced and quick growing crops like faba bean which can intercept more light within a short period give higher yield as compared to wider spaced crops. As such for the proper light interception at various growth stages, the optimum plant population is necessary (Singh and Singh, 2002). As plant density increases, the amount of dry matter in vegetative parts also increases. Both the biological and economic yields increase with increasing plant population up to a certain point and subsequently no addition in biological yield can be obtained and economic yield decreases. Therefore, the optimum plant population of the individual crops should be worked out under suitable environmental conditions (Singh and Singh, 2002).

## **2.9. Plant Population in Pulse Crops**

Plant population/ density of faba bean is an important factor in the newly reclaimed lands which depends on stand establishment. However, plant competition for environmental resources is affected by the spatial of the crop, the arrangement of those plants, this may be affected by the plant density (number of plants per unit area) distance between rows.

The spatial distribution in a crop community is an important determinant of yield. Different experiments have been conducted on the plant population of different pulse crops to determine the optimum population that would be resulted in the optimum population density of such crops. Martin *et al.* (2006) indicated that the objective of the population in crop plants is to obtain the maximum yield on a unit area without sacrificing quality. If the plant population is too high, plants will be tall, spindly, and more susceptible to lodging.

Yield may decrease because lodging will have resulted in difficulty in harvesting activities, greater harvest loss, disrupts the leaf canopy and often limits grain development and yield. If the plant population is too low, there will be fewer plants to contribute to the yield and weeds will be a greater risk. At higher plant population faba bean will produce fewer pods per plant and fewer seeds per pod.

#### **2.10. Effects of Plant Population on Yield Component and Yield of Faba Bean**

Plant density is defined as the number of main stems within a unit area, which determines the size of the area available to the individual plant. According to Donald (1963), as the number of plants per unit area increased, competition for growth resources such as nutrients, water, and light also increased. The low yield of faba bean was attributed to several factors, in which the poor cultural practices were the most critical (Tawaha and Turk, 2001). One of the most important cultural practices is planting density.

In general, the effect of plant population depends largely on the available soil moisture. When soil moisture is adequate and irrigation is provided, increasing row spacing resulted in a significant yield increase, on the other hand, a lower density was found to result in a greater yield of faba bean when grown under rainfed conditions (Salih, 1985).

The effect plant population on grain yield, however, seems to result from the production of heavier seeds at the higher plant population. This is rather expected since pod initiation usually starts early in the growing season before any moisture stress. Therefore, moisture stress which resulted from low rainfall or moisture depletion due to high plant competition, / will be more pronounced at the end of the growing season, which coincides with the seed filling stage, thus affect seed weight.

Also, the advantage resulted from the extended period of vegetative growth, which resulted in the improvement of several agronomical characters contributing to yield such as plant height, the number of pods produced and the number of nodules, nodule dry weight, and root dry weight.

Turk *et al.* (2003) who worked on lentil, reported that high plant density promotes phenological development; with flowering occurring 14 days earlier in the high plant density. Ahmad *et al.* (2002) also reported that row spacing had a significant effect on the number of days to flower maximum days (56) were taken to flower at 60 cm row spacing, while crop sown at 30 cm rows took minimum days (52) to flower.

Similar findings were reported by (Khalil *et al.*, 2010) and Singh *et al.* (2013), indicated that the denser plant population increased the plant height of faba bean due to competition among plants.

Gezahegn *et al.* (2016) found that the highest seed yield of faba bean at Vertisols in 30 cm inter and 8 cm intra-row spacing compare to 40 cm inter and 10 cm intra-row spacing.

Dry matter yield was higher in high plant densities compared to low plant densities. Moosavi *et al.* (2012) reported that a positive effect of increasing plant density on dry biomass. Al-Rifae *et al.* (2004) also reported that the dry matter yield of faba bean increased with increasing plant population due to the increase in the number of plants per unit area and the associated increase in plant height.

The highest harvest index in low plant density might be better utilization of available nutrients by faba bean plants. Imran *et al.* (2015) found that a maximum harvest index at lower plant density as compared to high plant density.

Increasing plant population from 10 to 50 plants  $\text{m}^{-2}$  increased seed yield from 4.59 to 5.23 tons  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  (Bianchi, 1979; Al-Rifae, 1999). The optimum faba bean yield was obtained at a plant population of 25 plants  $\text{m}^{-2}$  whereas, the highest yields were obtained at 40 and 85 plants  $\text{m}^{-2}$  (Christensen, 1974; Bonari and Macchia *et al.*, 1975).



According to Turk and Tawaha (2002), the reduction in pod length was associated with increasing plant density. Inter and intra-row spacing had a significant effect on the number of seeds per pod. Khalil *et al.* (2010) found that the highest number of seeds per pod in low plant density.

Seed yield in faba bean is the product of several components which include number of pods per plant, a number of seed per pod and 100 seed weight (Pendleton and Hartwing, 1973). Higher number of pods per plant, seeds per pod and seed yield per plant were obtained in the wider spacing the seed yield per hectare was significantly lower in wider inter and intra-row spacing (Almaz Gezahegn and Kindie Tesfaye *et al.*, 2017). However, As plant density increases (i.e. narrow spacing) intensity of interplant competition increases and reduce many parameters (i.e. number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pod, 100 seed weight and seed yield /plant) in the individual plants of faba bean, although seed yield per unit area, total dry matter and harvest index of lentils, chickpeas, peas, and faba beans increased as the plant populations increased (Ayaz *et al.*, 2001).

In Ethiopia yield of 3074, 3815 and 2388 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 50, 44 and 33 plants m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively the 22 plants m<sup>-2</sup> (222,222 plants per ha) gave higher yield (more than 110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than the recommended 25 plants m<sup>-2</sup> (250,000 plants per ha) (Tekle *et al.*, 2015). According to Christensen (1974), Bonari and Macchia *et al.*, (1975) and Turk and Tawaha (2002), reported that high yields for faba bean at high plant density.

### 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 3.1. Description of the Experimental Sites

The study was conducted at the Haramaya University Research site in 2019 main production season. Haramaya University is located at 525 km the capital city, Addis Ababa, and about 20 km Northwest of Harar town. The site is located at an altitude of 2026 meters above sea level, 9.0°N, latitude, and 42.0° E longitudes. The site has a bimodal rainfall pattern and is representative of a sub-humid, mid-altitude agro-climatic zone. The short rainy season extends from April to July whereas the long rainy season extends from July to October. With minimum and maximum mean annual temperatures of 9.59°C and 24.15°C, respectively (Haramaya University Weather Station, 2019). It is situated in semi-arid tropical belt of Eastern Ethiopia, characterized by sandy clay loam soil with pH value of 5.4- 6.2 and sub humid type of climate with an average annual rain fall of about 550-790 mm (Berecha, 2015). The total annual rainfall in 2019 was 728 mm.

#### 3.2. Experimental Materials, Treatments and Design

The experiment was conducted using four levels of phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ : 0, 23, 46, and 69 kg/ha) and six levels of plant population (133,333; 166,667; 200,000; 222,222; 250,000 and 300,000 plants per  $ha^{-1}$ ) arranged in factorial combination. The treatments were laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. A gross plot size of 3.0 × 2.1 m (6.3  $m^2$ ) and a net harvest plot size of 3 × 1.5 m (4.5  $m^2$ ) was used for all treatments. The number of rows per plot for the 30, 40, and 50 cm inter-row spacing were 7, 5, and 4, respectively. The plant population in each treatment combination is presented in Table 1. The experimental material used in this study was faba bean variety *Gachena*. The variety was released by the Highland Pulses Research Program at Haramaya University in

2007. The variety has indeterminate growth habit and grows well in the Hararghe highlands with annual rainfall of 700–1,000 mm (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, 2008).

Table 1: Details of treatment combination and plant population

| Treatments | Inter- row x Intra-<br>row spacing (cm) | Plant population<br>per hectares | Phosphorus<br>(kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| T1         | 50 x 15                                 | 133,333                          | 0                                                                  |
| T2         | "                                       | "                                | 23                                                                 |
| T3         | "                                       | "                                | 46                                                                 |
| T4         | "                                       | "                                | 69                                                                 |
| T5         | 50 x 10                                 | 200,000                          | 0                                                                  |
| T6         | "                                       | "                                | 23                                                                 |
| T7         | "                                       | "                                | 46                                                                 |
| T8         | "                                       | "                                | 69                                                                 |
| T9         | 40 x 15                                 | 166,667                          | 0                                                                  |
| T10        | "                                       | "                                | 23                                                                 |
| T11        | "                                       | "                                | 46                                                                 |
| T12        | "                                       | "                                | 69                                                                 |
| T13        | 40 x 10                                 | 250,000                          | 0                                                                  |
| T14        | "                                       | "                                | 23                                                                 |
| T15        | "                                       | "                                | 46                                                                 |
| T16        | "                                       | "                                | 69                                                                 |
| T17        | 30 x 15                                 | 222,222                          | 0                                                                  |
| T18        | "                                       | "                                | 23                                                                 |
| T19        | "                                       | "                                | 46                                                                 |
| T20        | "                                       | "                                | 69                                                                 |
| T21        | 30 x 10                                 | 300,000                          | 0                                                                  |
| T22        | "                                       | "                                | 23                                                                 |
| T23        | "                                       | "                                | 46                                                                 |
| T24        | "                                       | "                                | 69                                                                 |

### 3.3. Agronomic Practices

The experimental field was plowed and harrowed to a fine tilth using a tractor. The seeds were planted manually by placing two seed per hill based on intra-row spacing for each treatment on 13 July 2019. The plants were thinned to one plant per hill 10 days after emergence. Initially, plant density was precisely maintained after thinning to attain the required densities under different treatments. Plots were regularly monitored and kept free of weeds and pests by manual weeding and pesticide application, respectively. Harvesting was done manually on December 15 and 16, 2019.

### 3.4. Soil Sampling and Analysis

Soil samples 0–30 cm depth were taken from five random places of the experimental site before planting. The collected samples were air-dried at room temperature under shade and ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve. Samples were analyzed for organic carbon (OC) and nitrogen (N) determination and for selected physicochemical properties, mainly (percent sand, silt, and clay), soil pH, total nitrogen, organic matter content, available phosphorus, exchangeable potassium and cation exchange capacity (CEC) following standard laboratory procedures for each parameter.

Soil organic matter content was determined by Walkely and Black procedure (Walkley and Black, 1954) and total nitrogen by Kjeldahl method (Jackson, 1958). The pH of soil samples was measured in water at soil to water ratio of 1:2.5 (Van Reewijk, 1992), and cation exchange capacity was measured by ammonium acetate method after saturating the soil with 1 N  $\text{NH}_4\text{OAC}$  and displacing it with 1 N  $\text{NaOAC}$  (Chapman, 1965). Exchangeable K was extracted with 1 N  $\text{NH}_4\text{OAC}$  at pH 7 and the extract was read using a flame photometer. Available phosphorous was determined by the Olsen method (*et al.*, 1954) and soil texture analysis was performed by Bouyoucos hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1951).

### 3.5. Data Collection

#### 3.5.1. Phenological parameters

**Number of days to 50% flowering:** Was recorded as the number of days from planting to when 50% of the plants produced flowers through visual observation.

**Days to 90% physiological maturity:** Was recorded as the number of days from planting to when the plants attained 90% physiological maturity i.e. when the plants and the pods turned pale yellow in color based on visual observation.

#### 3.5.2. Growth related parameters

**Total leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>):** Just before flowering five plants from the destructive sampling rows of each plot were taken randomly. Leaf area was measured using a leaf area meter. The average leaf area was obtained as the leaf area of five leaves which was obtained from the top, middle and bottom parts per plant. Total leaf area per plant was calculated based on average leaf area multiplied by the total number of leaves per plant.

**Leaf area index (LAI):** Leaf area index (LAI) is a measure of leafiness per unit ground area and denotes the extent of photosynthesis. Leaf area index of five plants was calculated as the ratio of total leaf area per five plants (cm<sup>2</sup>) to the area of land occupied by the plants.

**Total number of nodules per plant:** Was determined by counting from randomly taken five plants from destructive sampling row of each plot at 50% flowering stage. Roots were carefully from the soil and nodules were separated from the soil by washing and the total number of nodules was counted. The nodules was separated from the soil washed and the

total number of nodules were determined by counting. Then, the mean value of the five plants was recorded as the number of nodules per plant.

**Number of effective nodules:** Twenty five representative nodules were taken from five uprooted plants from each plot and dissected with blade to observe their color in the center. The color score was made using 1-4 scale as: 1 = white, 2 = pink, 3 = slightly dark red and 4 = deep dark red as adopted by Tekalign and Asgelil (1994).

**Plant height (cm):** Height of five randomly tagged plants from the net plot area was measured from ground to the tip (apical bud) at physiological maturity stage and the averages were recorded as plant height.

**Number of primary tillers per plant:** Was taken by counting the number of primary tillers from the main stem for randomly taken five plants at physiological maturity.

### 3.5.3. Yield components and yield

**Stand count:** Stand count was recorded after establishment and at harvest per net plot area when the plants attained maturity. Percentage of survival was calculated to determine the difference in stand count due to the competition effect.

**Number of pods per plant:** Was recorded by counting the number of pods from randomly tagged five plants and their average was taken.

**Number of seeds per pod:** Five random pods from each of the five random plants were used to determine the number of seeds per pods from five plants and their average was taken.

**Above ground dry biomass yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>):** At maturity, plants from the net plot area of each plot were manually harvested close to the ground surface using a sickle. The harvested plants were sundried in the open air until constant weight after the grain was removed and weighed to determine the above-ground dry biomass yield.

**Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>):** It was measured for all plants harvested from the net area of each plot. Grain was cleaned following harvesting and threshing, weighted using an electronic balance and adjusted to 12.5% moisture content according to Kindie (2004). Finally, yield per plot was converted to per hectare basis.

**Seed moisture content:** This was determined as the percent water content of the seed. It was measured using moisture tester.

**Hundred Seed weight (g):** This parameter was determined based on the weight of 100 seeds randomly sampled from each treatment by counting manually and weighing by an electronic balance.

**Harvest Index (HI):** Was calculated as the ratio of grain yield to the total above ground dry biomass yield and multiplied by a hundred.

$$\text{Harvest Index (HI)} = \frac{\text{Seed yield (g)}}{\text{Biological yield (g)}} \times 100$$

### 3.6. Data Analysis

Analysis of variance for treatment means was carried out using Model (GLM) of Gen-Stat 18<sup>th</sup> edition (Gen-Stat, 2012) for RCBD and the interpretations were made following the procedure described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Least significant difference (LSD) test at a 5% probability level was used for mean comparison when the ANOVA showed significant differences.

### 3.7. Economic Analysis

Economic analysis was performed using partial budget analysis following the procedure described by CIMMYT (1988) in which prevailing market prices for inputs at planting and for outputs at harvesting were used. All costs and benefits were calculated in Ethiopian Birr on a ha basis. The concepts used in the partial budget analysis were the mean grain yield of each treatment, the field price of faba bean grain, and the gross field benefit (GFB) ha.

**Gross average seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Av. SY):** Was an average yield of each treatment.

**Adjusted yield (Aj. SY):** Was the average yield that was adjusted downward by a 10% to reflect the difference between the experimental yields and yield of farmers (CIMMYT, 1988).

$$Aj. Y = Av. SY - (Av. Y \times 0.1)$$

**Gross field benefit (GFB):** Was computed by multiplying field/farm gate price that farmers receive for the crop when they sale it as adjusted yield.

**Total cost:** The cost of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, urea and others used for the experiment. Their price was based on the 2019 market price during planting. The cost of production practices such as labor cost for land preparation, planting, weeding, crop protection and harvesting was to be remain the same or the difference was insignificant/constant among treatments.

**Net benefits (NB):** was calculated by subtracting the total costs from gross field benefits for each treatment.

$$NB = GFB - \text{Total Cost}$$

**Marginal rate of return (MRR %):** Which is the measure of increasing in return by increasing input, was calculated by dividing change in net benefit by change in cost.

$$\text{Marginal rate of return (MRR \%)} = \frac{\text{Change in net benefit}}{\text{change in total cost}} \times 100$$



## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Some Soil Physico-chemical Properties of the Experimental Site

The soil texture of the experimental site was sandy clay loam (Table 2). Results of Pre-planting soil analysis indicated that it has a pH value of 6.36, which was slightly acidic and, thus, suitable for faba bean production. As the experimental plot was not covered with native, it contained low amounts of organic C (0.836%) and total N (0.06%) (Tekalign, 1991). The available phosphorus level was 12.9 ppm (Table 2) which is found in very low range (Cottenie, 1980). This indicates that P fertilizer application is crucial for the study area in order to maximize faba bean production. The low available P could be due to P fixation in such acidic soils and removal of basic cations such as  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  from the top soil because of high rain fall of the area. In addition, experimental soil exhibited high Cation Exchange Capacity (35.8 meq/100 g soil). It can be concluded that, as with the report of Tairo and Ndakidemi (2013), in legumes phosphorus supplements are effective in improving growth, yield and economic benefits, as well as photosynthesis and plant nutrition. Besides, due to the positive effect phosphorus fertilizer has on the root development of plants, its adoption is also strongly recommended.

Table 2: Soil characteristics of the experimental site.

|   | Soil properties      | Value |
|---|----------------------|-------|
| 1 | pH                   | 6.36  |
| 2 | Total N (%)          | 0.06  |
| 3 | Available P (ppm)    | 12.9  |
| 4 | CEC (meq/100 g soil) | 35.8  |
| 5 | OM (%)               | 1.441 |
| 6 | OC (%)               | 0.836 |

|     |              |                 |
|-----|--------------|-----------------|
| 7   | Soil texture |                 |
| 7.1 | Clay (%)     | 31              |
| 7.2 | Sand (%)     | 63              |
| 7.3 | Silt (%)     | 4               |
| 7.4 | Class        | Sandy clay loam |

## 4.2. Phenological Parameters

### 4.2.1. Days to 50% flowering

The main effects of P and plant population were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for number of days to 50% flowering, whereas, their interaction was non-significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) (Appendix Table 1). Application of 69 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly reduced the number of days to 50% flowering as compared to 0 and 23 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). This might be due to the fact that phosphorus fertilizer enhances flowering. Photosynthesis and assimilate partitioning of crops from source to sink (Iqbal *et al.*, 2003).

In line with this result, Turk and Tawaha (2002) reported that P application increased development rate of crops and resulted in reduction of the number of days to 50% flowering of faba bean as compared to the control plots. Number of days to flowering significantly decreased as the plant population increased from 133,333 to 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). This might be due to the fact that, as competition for light, nutrients and moisture increased the crop revealed hastened to flower as plant spacing increased. Contrary to the present result, Farag and EI-Shamma (2004) have reported that wider plant spacing of 50 cm reduced the number of days to flowering in broad bean as compared to 40 cm plant spacing. Similarly, Turk *et al.* (2003) have found that the highest plant population hastened days to flowering in lentil.

#### 4.2.2. Days to 90% physiological maturity

The number of days to 90% physiological maturity (DPM) was highly significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) affected by the main effects of P and plant population. However, their interaction did not affect the attribute (Appendix Table 1). Treatments maintained without P application ( $0 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) significantly delayed DPM as compared to other levels of  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ . This could be due to the fact that P fertilization enhances physiological maturity of plants. In agreement with the present results, hastening crop maturity due to increased P supply has been reported by Brady and Weil (2002). Furthermore, Halvin *et al.* (1999) have also indicated that ample P nutrition could reduce the time required for grain ripening.

Plants in the highest population density,  $300,000 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}$  ( $10 \times 30 \text{ cm}$ ) took 133.5 days to attain physiological maturity, which was significantly earlier than the lowest plant population ( $133,333 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}$ ) ( $15 \times 50 \text{ cm}$ ) that took 137.9 days (Table 3). The hastened days to maturity with narrower plant spacing might be due to high competition for the available resource such as light and moisture that hastened maturity. Contrary to this result, Hodgson and Blackman (2005) have reported that narrower row and plant spacings prolonged maturity days of faba bean compared to wider spaced crops. Similarly, Oad *et al.* (2002) have reported that closer row and plant spacing delayed maturity days of sunflower as compared to wider spacing.

Table 3: Effects of phosphorus rate and plant population on number of days to 50 % flowering (DTF) and 90% maturity (DTM) of faba bean.

| Treatments                                               | Days to 50% flowering | Days to 90% maturity |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Phosphorus ( $\text{kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) |                       |                      |
| 0                                                        | 58.33 <sup>a</sup>    | 140.1 <sup>a</sup>   |
| 23                                                       | 56.78 <sup>b</sup>    | 136.0 <sup>b</sup>   |
| 46                                                       | 55.94 <sup>bc</sup>   | 134.6 <sup>bc</sup>  |
| 69                                                       | 54.83 <sup>c</sup>    | 133.8 <sup>c</sup>   |
| LSD (0.05) =                                             | 1.365                 | 1.832                |
| Populations ( $\text{plants ha}^{-1}$ )                  |                       |                      |
| 133,333                                                  | 58.33 <sup>a</sup>    | 137.9 <sup>a</sup>   |
| 166,667                                                  | 57.08 <sup>ab</sup>   | 137.8 <sup>a</sup>   |

|              |                     |                     |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 200,000      | 57;00 <sup>ab</sup> | 137.2 <sup>ab</sup> |
| 222,222      | 56.08 <sup>bc</sup> | 135.3 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 250,000      | 55.92 <sup>bc</sup> | 135;0 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 300,000      | 54.42 <sup>c</sup>  | 133.5 <sup>c</sup>  |
| LSD (0.05) = | 1.672               | 2.243               |
| CV (%) =     | 3.6                 | 2.0                 |

Mean values followed by same letter(s) within a column for a given treatment and parameter are not significantly different at 0.05 P level., LSD = least significant difference at 5% level; CV= coefficient of variation.

#### 4.2.3.Total number of nodules per plant

The analysis of variance revealed that total number of nodules per plant was significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) affected by application of phosphorus fertilizer, plant population and their interaction (Appendix Table 1). It was observed that total number of nodules per plant increased in response to increasing rate of phosphorus application. The highest number of nodules per plant of faba bean (155.2) was recorded for 46 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> while the lowest value (144.4) was for 0 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. In agreement with the present result, Demissie and Deresa (2018) have reported that increasing the rate of application of phosphorus fertilizer from 20 kg of P ha<sup>-1</sup> to 30 and 40 kg of P ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the total number of nodules per plant by about 16.1 and 19.5%, respectively.

These results were also in line with the findings of Getachew and Angaw (2006), Yirga and Kiros (2012), and Yohannes *et al.* (2014), indicating that application of mineral phosphorus fertilization significantly increased nodule number per plant in faba bean. Moreover, Ganeshamurthy (2000) has reported that nodule number and weight of various legumes increased due to P and FYM applications. As plant population increased from 133,333 to 300,000 total number of nodules per plant also increase from 146.5 to 153.4. Total number of nodules was influenced by plant density due to competition for water and mineral nutrition; as plant densities have statistically significant effect on faba bean nodulation (Al-Abduselamand and Abdai, 1995). The interaction effects of P and plant population, the highest number of nodules per plant of faba bean (162.67) was recorded for 46 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>

and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> while the lowest value (133.13) was for 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> interaction (Table 5). Taj *et al.* (2002) who worked experiment on mungbean reported that more competition for light in narrow spacing that resulted in taller plants while at wider spacing light distribution was normal.

#### 4.2.4. Number of Effective nodules (ENN) per plant

The analysis of variance showed that P rate and plant population, significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) affected the number of effective nodules (NEN), but their interaction was non significant (Appendix Table 1). The lowest (14.26) and the highest (16.42) NEN were recorded for 0 and 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per ha, respectively (Table 4).

There was a linear increase in total number of nodules per plant, number of effective nodules with increasing rate of P up to 46 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per ha. Increases in these parameters due to application of phosphorus may be due to the fact that there is a positive correlation between phosphorus and the parameters. As plant population increased from 133,333 to 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> number of effective nodules also increased from 14.53 to 15.98. Number of effective nodules was influenced by plant population due to competition for water and mineral nutrition. In both cases, increasing P rate and plant population increased the number of effective nodules.

Table 4: Effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on total number of nodules (TNN) and number of effective nodules (ENN) per plant of faba bean.

| Treatments                                                      | Total number of nodules | Number of effective nodules |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                         |                             |
| 0                                                               | 144.4 <sup>c</sup>      | 14.26 <sup>c</sup>          |
| 23                                                              | 152.1 <sup>b</sup>      | 15.07 <sup>b</sup>          |
| 46                                                              | 155.2 <sup>a</sup>      | 16.42 <sup>a</sup>          |
| 69                                                              | 154.1 <sup>ab</sup>     | 16.1 <sup>a</sup>           |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 2.357                   | 0.5627                      |
| Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )                           |                         |                             |
| 133,333                                                         | 146.5 <sup>c</sup>      | 14.53 <sup>d</sup>          |
| 200,000                                                         | 148.1 <sup>c</sup>      | 14.97 <sup>cd</sup>         |
| 166,667                                                         | 151.9 <sup>b</sup>      | 15.03 <sup>cd</sup>         |

|              |                     |                     |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 250,000      | 155.9 <sup>a</sup>  | 16.67 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 222,222      | 152.9 <sup>ab</sup> | 15.58 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 300,000      | 153.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 15.98 <sup>ab</sup> |
| LSD (0.05) = | 2.887               | 0.6892              |
| CV (%) =     | 2.3                 | 5.4                 |

Mean values followed by same letter (s) within a column for a given treatment level and parameter are not significantly different at 0.05 P level., LSD = least significant difference at 5% P level; CV= coefficient of variation.

Table 5: Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on total number of nodules of faba bean.

| Total number of nodules per plant                               |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 133.13                                | 157.87 | 135.55 | 141.6  | 150.33 | 147.67 |
| 23                                                              | 154.13                                | 148.2  | 144.67 | 164.4  | 153.2  | 148.07 |
| 46                                                              | 150.07                                | 152.13 | 156    | 153.13 | 162.67 | 157.2  |
| 69                                                              | 148.67                                | 149.4  | 156    | 152.47 | 157.47 | 160.67 |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    |                                       |        |        |        |        | 5.774  |
| CV (%) =                                                        |                                       |        |        |        |        | 2.3    |

#### 4.2.5. Stand count at emergence and harvest

As compared to initial value (100%), the final stand count decreased, probably due to interplant competition as well as environmental conditions prevailing during the season. As phosphorus application rates increase initial stand count also increased from 96.56% to 97.5% (Table 6). Whereas the interaction between phosphorus fertilizer rates and plant population was not significant for stand count at harvest (Appendix Table 2). The lowest plant population, 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (15 x 50 cm), had the maximum (90.25%) final stand count as compared to higher plant populations (250,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (10 x 40 cm) with 89.5% and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (10 x 30 cm) with 88.33% ) (Table 6). At lower plant

population availability of more space might have resulted in less competition for resources (nutrients, moisture, and light) whereas at higher plant population due to more intra-specific competition the weaker plants disappeared by the time the crop approached maturity. Similar results were reported by Abdel (2008) who found lower stand count at harvest at higher plant population due to the reduced plant competition and plant mortality.

#### **4.2.6. Plant height**

Results of the analysis of variance showed highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) variation due to phosphorus rate and plant population, whereas the interaction between the two factors was not significant for plant height (Appendix Table 2).

The tallest plants (189.7 cm) were recorded for the highest rate of P (69 kg of  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>), while 0 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in the shortest plants (174.8 cm) (Table 6). The increase in plant height in response to application of higher P rate indicates higher vegetative growth of the plants under higher P availability. In line with this, Hussain, *et al.* (2006) have reported that, promotion effect of P level on plant height was probably due to better development of root system and nutrient absorption. Likewise, Yirga Weldu, *et al.* (2012) have reported increase in plant height of faba bean both at 50% flowering and maturity stages in response to increased P application. In agreement with this result, Getachew and Rezene (2006) have reported that plant height of faba bean was significantly influenced by P application compared to control plots in Holeta area, central Ethiopia.

The highest plant population (300,000 plants per hectares) resulted in the tallest plants (191.4 cm), while the minimum plant height (175.8 cm) (175.8 cm) was recorded for the lowest plant population (133,333 plants per hectares) (Table 6). The increase in plant height at higher plant densities was probably due to increased competition of plants in higher densities for light, resulting in taller plants. Similar findings have been reported by Khalil *et al.* (2010) and Singh *et al.* (2013), who indicated that denser plant populations increased plant height of faba bean due to competition among plants. Furthermore, Taj *et al.* (2002) have reported that more competition for light in narrow spacing (high plant population) of mung bean resulted in taller plants, while at wider spacing (low plant population) light distribution was normal. Likewise, Parvez *et al.* (1989) have also indicated that with increasing plant density, there was increases in plant height. This is because in narrow spacing (high plant population) plants compete more for available resources especially for light and, thus, result in more height than widely spaced plants (Singh, 2002). In agreement with this, Ogunlella *et al.* (2005) and Amanullah *et al.* (2010) have indicated that plants were taller with increased plant density in reaction to an enhanced competition among plants for light. Generally, plant height tended to increase with increasing plant densities.

Table 6: Effects of phosphorus rate and plant population on stand count at emergence (SCE), stand count at harvest (SCH) and plant height (PH) of faba bean.

| Treatments                                                      | PH (cm)              | SCE%               | SCH%                |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                      |                    |                     |
| 0                                                               | 174.80 <sup>c</sup>  | 96.56 <sup>b</sup> | 89.28 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 23                                                              | 183.90 <sup>b</sup>  | 96.72 <sup>b</sup> | 89.56 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 46                                                              | 186.90 <sup>ab</sup> | 96.72 <sup>b</sup> | 89.56 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 69                                                              | 189.70 <sup>a</sup>  | 97.50 <sup>a</sup> | 89.72 <sup>a</sup>  |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 3.724                | 0.694              | 1.011               |
| Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )                           |                      |                    |                     |
| 133,333                                                         | 175.8 <sup>c</sup>   | 97.25 <sup>a</sup> | 90.25 <sup>ab</sup> |
| 200,000                                                         | 181.5 <sup>b</sup>   | 97.25 <sup>a</sup> | 91.17 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 166,667                                                         | 182.2 <sup>b</sup>   | 97.08 <sup>a</sup> | 89.17 <sup>bc</sup> |



|              |                    |                    |                     |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 250,000      | 183.3 <sup>b</sup> | 96.50 <sup>a</sup> | 89.50 <sup>bc</sup> |
| 222,222      | 188.6 <sup>a</sup> | 96.67 <sup>a</sup> | 88.75 <sup>c</sup>  |
| 300,000      | 191.4 <sup>a</sup> | 96.50 <sup>a</sup> | 88.33 <sup>c</sup>  |
| LSD (0.05) = | 4.561              | 0.85               | 1.238               |
| CV (%) =     | 3.0                | 1.1                | 1.7                 |

Mean values followed by same letter (s) within a column for a given treatment level and parameter are not significantly different at 0.05 P level., LSD = least significant difference at 5% P level; CV= coefficient of variation.

#### 4.2.7. Total leaf area

The main effects of P and plant population were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for leaf area, but their interaction was non significant (Appendix Table 2). Total leaf area per plant increased as P rate increased. The highest leaf area ( $58.42 \text{ cm}^2$ ) was recorded for the highest rate of P ( $69 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) and other rates were significantly lower than the highest rate (Table 7). In line with this, it has been reported that the highest physiological growth indices are achieved under high plant nutrition because photosynthesis is enhanced by the growth and development of leaf areas (Bennett, 1993). In agreement with results of the present study, Tairo and Ndakidemi (2013) have reported that phosphorus application has significantly increased the leaf area of soybean. On the other hand, total leaf area per plant significantly decreased from  $60.15 \text{ cm}^2$  to  $52.6 \text{ cm}^2$  as plant population increased from 133,333 to 300,000 plants  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  (Table 7). The rate of increase in leaf area determines the rate of increase in photosynthetic capacity of the plant.

#### 4.2.8. Leaf area index

The main effects of P, and plant population as well as their interaction were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for leaf area index (Appendix Table 2). The highest leaf area index (2.923) was recorded for the highest rate of P ( $69 \text{ kg of P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) which was statistically similar with leaf area index (LAI) obtained due to  $46 \text{ kg of P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$  whereas the lowest value (2.832) was recorded for the control plots (Table 7). It has been reported that the highest physiological growth indices are achieved under high plant nutrition because photosynthesis enhanced by the growth and development of leaf areas (Bennett, 1993). In agreement with this result, Tairo and Ndakidemi (2013) also reported that phosphorus application has significantly increased the leaf area and leaf area index of soybean.

Leaf area index significantly decreased from 3.376 to 2.336 as plant population increased from 133,333 to 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 7). This was probably due to increased total leaf area per plant when plant population decreased. With regard to the interaction effect of P and plant population, significantly highest leaf area index (3.7) was obtained with 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> however, the lowest (2.151) was recorded due to 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> interaction (Table 8). The present result was in line with the findings of Gezahegn *et al.* (2016) who indicated that LAI was negatively related with plant population. In contrast with this result, Caliskan *et al.* (2007) and Solomon (2010) both worked on haricot bean reported that leaf area index increased as both inter and intra-row spacing decreased. Similarly, Worku and Demisie (2012) have also reported that a high LAI at high plant density may attribute to improved light interception thus, ensuring high biomass and yield than at low plant density.

#### **4.2.9. Number of primary tillers per plant**

The effects of phosphorus rate and plant population and their interaction were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for number of primary tillers per plant (Appendix Table 3). The highest and lowest number of primary tillers per plant were recorded for the highest (69 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest rate of phosphorus (0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. As P is responsible for good root growth which directly affects the overall plant performance, application of P at the rate of 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in the lowest number of effective tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>.

The increment in the number of primary tillers per plant in response to increased phosphorus application rate indicates higher vegetative growth of the plants under higher P availability. In line with this result, Shubhashree (2007) has reported significantly higher number of primary tillers per plant of common bean with 75 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> over the control. Number of primary tillers per plant increased with decreasing plant population, as the highest value (3.383) was recorded for the lowest plant population (133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the highest plant population (300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave the lowest number of primary tillers (2.7) (Table 7). This could probably be due to the fact that, as space among plants increases resources become more available for each plant, enhancing lateral vegetative

growth of the crop. In agreement with this result, Mehmet (2008) has reported an increased number of primary tillers due to wider plant spacing for soybean.

Table 7: Effect of phosphorus rates and plant population on total leaf area (TLA), leaf area index (LAI) and number of primary tillers (NPB) per plant of faba bean.

| Treatments                                                      | LA (cm <sup>2</sup> ) | LAI                | NPB                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                       |                    |                     |
| 0                                                               | 51.65 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.631 <sup>b</sup> | 2.900 <sup>c</sup>  |
| 23                                                              | 56.05 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.820 <sup>a</sup> | 3.100 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 46                                                              | 56.24 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.832 <sup>a</sup> | 3.211 <sup>ab</sup> |
| 69                                                              | 59.42 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.923 <sup>a</sup> | 3.278 <sup>a</sup>  |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 2.526                 | 0.0979             | 0.1389              |
| Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )                           |                       |                    |                     |
| 133,333                                                         | 60.15 <sup>a</sup>    | 3.376 <sup>a</sup> | 3.383 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 200,000                                                         | 58.19 <sup>ab</sup>   | 2.577 <sup>d</sup> | 3.183 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 166,667                                                         | 55.24 <sup>bc</sup>   | 3.202 <sup>b</sup> | 3.233 <sup>ab</sup> |
| 250,000                                                         | 54.53 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.492 <sup>d</sup> | 3.183 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 222,222                                                         | 54.33 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.826 <sup>c</sup> | 3.050 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 300,000                                                         | 52.60 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.336 <sup>e</sup> | 2.700 <sup>c</sup>  |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 3.094                 | 0.12               | 0.1702              |
| CV (%) =                                                        | 6.7                   | 5.2                | 6.6                 |

Mean values followed by same letter (s) within a column for a given treatment level and parameter are not significantly different at 0.05 P level., LSD = least significant difference at 5% P level; CV= coefficient of variation

Regarding the interaction effects of P and plant population, number of primary branches per plant (NPB) was decreased with increasing plant population. Significantly highest number of primary branches per plant value (4) was achieved for the interaction of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest (2.2) was due to 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> interaction (Table 8). Similarly, Khalil *et al.* (2010) and Yucel (2013) have reported that the number of primary tillers showed increasing trend as the space among plants increased compared to plants at narrow spacing. In contrast, Yalemtesfa (2017) has reported that increased intra-row spacing decreased the number of branches per plant. This could be due to more nutrient, moisture and space become available for the growth of other vegetative parts than for branches.

Table 8: Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on leaf area index and number of primary tillers per plant of faba bean.

| Leaf area index                                                 |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 2.617                                 | 3.15   | 2.467  | 2.417  | 2.373  | 2.763  |
| 23                                                              | 3.713                                 | 3.141  | 2.57   | 2.989  | 2.366  | 2.138  |
| 46                                                              | 3.473                                 | 3.263  | 2.566  | 2.767  | 2.773  | 2.151  |
| 69                                                              | 3.7                                   | 3.253  | 2.707  | 3.129  | 2.457  | 2.29   |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    |                                       |        |        |        |        | 0.2399 |
| CV (%) =                                                        |                                       |        |        |        |        | 5.2    |
| Number of primary tillers per plant                             |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 2.8                                   | 3.467  | 2.6    | 3.333  | 3      | 2.2    |
| 23                                                              | 3.267                                 | 3.333  | 3.2    | 3      | 3      | 2.8    |
| 46                                                              | 3.467                                 | 3.133  | 3.333  | 2.933  | 3.533  | 2.867  |
| 69                                                              | 4                                     | 3      | 3.6    | 2.933  | 3.2    | 2.933  |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    |                                       |        |        |        |        | 0.3403 |
| CV (%) =                                                        |                                       |        |        |        |        | 6.6    |

### 4.3. Yield Components and Yield

#### 4.3.1. Number of pods per plant

The analysis of variance indicated that plant population and P rate had a highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect on the number of pods per plant (PPP), whereas their interaction was not significant (Appendix Table 3). The highest number of pods per plant (26.34) was obtained at the highest rate of phosphorus (69 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) application, while the lowest value (23.15) was recorded for the control treatment (Table 9). This result was in line with the findings of Aminpanah (2015) who reported that, number of pods per plant was significantly influenced by application of P.

Similarly, Meseret and Amin (2014) have indicated that all applied P fertilizer rates significantly increased number of PPP of common bean over the control. It was observed that number of PPP decreased as plant population increased. The lowest plant population (133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave the highest (26.9) number of pods per plant and the lowest value (23.75) was recorded for the highest plant population 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 9). The increment in the number of PPP at the lower population density might be due to an increase in net assimilation rate and reduction of competition among individual plants for growth factors in wider spacing, favoring the development of more number of flowers and pods per plant. This result was in line with the findings of Abdel (2008) and Shad *et al.* (2010) who reported decrease in the number of PPP in faba bean due to a reduction in the number of stems per plant at higher plant densities. Similarly, Melak (2014) has reported that significantly the highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (34.7) of chickpea was recorded at 50 cm x 15 cm spacing as compared to 20 cm x 5 cm spacing (16.7). Moreover, Derogar and Mojaddam (2014) and Almaz *et al.* (2016) have shown that increasing plant densities may lead to a decrease in the number of pods per plant in faba bean due to a reduction in the number of pod bearing branches per plant.

#### **4.3.2. Number of seeds per pod**

Number of seeds per pod was highly significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) affected by both phosphorus rate and plant population as well as by their interaction (Appendix Table 3). The highest number of seeds per pod (3.378) was obtained at the highest rate of phosphorus (69 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) application, while the lowest value (3.011) was recorded for the control treatment (Table 9). The highest number of seeds per pod at the highest rate of phosphorus may be due to the positive role of phosphorus in photosynthetic materials production and their allocation to reproductive organs of the crop. The result was in line with the findings of Kubure *et al.* (2016) who have found that phosphorus application tended to improve seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> when compared with no phosphorus. The current result was also in agreement with the findings of Meseret and Amin (2014) who reported that significantly the highest number of seeds per pod (5.85) was obtained at the highest rate of P (40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest value (3.14) was recorded for the untreated plot.

On the other hand, the highest (3.517) and lowest (3.000) number of seeds per pod were recorded for 133,333 and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 9). This may be due to decreased inter-plant competition for resources at lower plant populations that leads to increased plant capacity for utilizing the environmental inputs in building a great amount of metabolites to be used in developing new tissues and increasing its yield components. This was in agreement with some previous reports on faba bean (Abdel, 2008; Hodgson and Blackman, 2005).

#### **4.3.3. Above ground dry biomass yield (DBY)**

The analysis of variance showed that both factors (P rate and plant population) and their interaction highly significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) affected above ground dry biomass yield (Appendix Table 3). The result showed that there was significant increase in biomass yield when phosphorus application increased from the lowest to the highest rate. The highest total dry biomass at the highest level of phosphorous might be due to the more application of phosphorus fertilizer which might have led to efficient use of phosphorus fertilizer improvement in fodder and grain yields ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase in above ground dry biomass at the highest rate of phosphorus might be attributed to the enhanced availability of P for vegetative growth of the crops. Since phosphorus is responsible for good root growth and development, it directly affects the overall plant performance, as a result, a good and optimum supply of P is important for crops to explore more soil nutrients and moisture Tadele Buraka *et al.* (2016). In line with this, Tesfaye (2015) has reported that the highest (8135 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest (4399 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) dry biomass yields of common bean were recorded for 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> rates of P, respectively.

The highest above ground dry biomass yield (15676 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded for 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest (13941 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the lowest plant population (133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 9). This result was in line with the findings of Moosavi *et al.* (2012) who reported a positive effect of increased plant population on dry biomass yield of crops. Similarly, Derogar (2014) has reported that increasing plant density resulted in increased biological yield of faba bean due to an increase in the number of plants in a unit's area (Dahmardeh *et al.*, 2010). Al-Rifaei *et al.* (2004) have also observed that at the final harvest of faba bean, the dry matter yield of above ground parts increased with increasing

plant population due to the increase in the number of plants per unit area and the associated increase in plant height.

Table 9: Effects of phosphorus rate and plant population on number of pods per plant (PPP), number of seeds per pod (SPP) and above ground dry biomass yield (DBM) of faba bean.

| Treatments                                                      | PPP                 | SPP                | DBY_kg_ha <sup>-1</sup> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                     |                    |                         |
| 0                                                               | 23.15 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.011 <sup>b</sup> | 13150 <sup>c</sup>      |
| 23                                                              | 26.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.256 <sup>a</sup> | 14853 <sup>b</sup>      |
| 46                                                              | 26.06 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.289 <sup>a</sup> | 14940 <sup>b</sup>      |
| 69                                                              | 26.34 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.378 <sup>a</sup> | 15978 <sup>a</sup>      |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 0.916               | 0.1235             | 404.6                   |
| Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )                           |                     |                    |                         |
| 133,333                                                         | 26.90 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.517 <sup>a</sup> | 13941 <sup>b</sup>      |
| 200,000                                                         | 25.80 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.317 <sup>b</sup> | 14028 <sup>b</sup>      |
| 166,667                                                         | 25.50 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.200 <sup>b</sup> | 14122 <sup>b</sup>      |
| 250,000                                                         | 25.20 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.183 <sup>b</sup> | 15201 <sup>a</sup>      |
| 222,222                                                         | 25.17 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.167 <sup>b</sup> | 15416 <sup>a</sup>      |
| 300,000                                                         | 23.75 <sup>c</sup>  | 3.000 <sup>c</sup> | 15676 <sup>a</sup>      |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 1.122               | 0.1246             | 495.5                   |
| CV (%) =                                                        | 5.4                 | 5.7                | 4.1                     |

Mean values followed by same letter (s) within a column for a given treatment level and parameter are not significantly different at 0.05 P level., LSD = least significant difference at 5% P level; CV= coefficient of variation.

With regard to the interaction effects of P and plant population, the highest and lowest number of seeds per pod was recorded at 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 250,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> interaction respectively (Table 10). The present result was also in agreement with that of Mahmoud (2014) who reported that the number of seeds per pod of faba bean decreased with close planting. Similarly, Bakry *et al.* (2011) on their work on effect of row spacing on yield and its components of some faba bean varieties under newly reclaimed sand soil conditions reported that the highest number of seeds per pod was obtained from the wider spaced plants compared to close spaced plants.

Table 10: Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on seed per pod and Dry biomass yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of faba bean.

| Seeds per pod                                                   |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 3.133                                 | 2.867  | 3.2    | 3.067  | 2.8    | 3      |
| 23                                                              | 3.4                                   | 3.333  | 3.4    | 3.067  | 3.133  | 3.2    |
| 46                                                              | 3.533                                 | 3.333  | 3.4    | 3.2    | 3.2    | 3      |
| 69                                                              | 4                                     | 3.267  | 3.267  | 3.333  | 3.6    | 2.8    |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 0.3051                                |        |        |        |        |        |
| CV (%) =                                                        | 5.7                                   |        |        |        |        |        |
| Dry biomass yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                        |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 11207                                 | 13472  | 13389  | 13107  | 13441  | 14285  |
| 23                                                              | 13667                                 | 13444  | 14556  | 15444  | 16528  | 15482  |
| 46                                                              | 14167                                 | 14028  | 14556  | 15667  | 14028  | 17194  |
| 69                                                              | 16722                                 | 15542  | 13611  | 17444  | 16806  | 15741  |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 348.1                                 |        |        |        |        |        |
| CV (%) =                                                        | 4.1                                   |        |        |        |        |        |

Concerning the interaction effect of effects of P and plant population, significantly highest above ground dry biomass yield (17194 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest (11207 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> interaction (Table 10). In line with this result, Solomon (2003) reported that above ground dry biomass yield per ha was significantly increased with decreasing plant spacing on soybean due to increased dry matter accumulation in densely populated crops.

#### 4.3.4. Hundred seed weight

The effects of P, rate and plant population and their interaction were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for hundred seed weight (Appendix Table 4). The highest and lowest hundred seed weights were recorded for application of 69 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The increment in hundred seed weight with increasing rate of P application



might be due to increased photosynthetic area and more dry matter portioning from source to sink and economic part, which demonstrates a strong correlation with the size of the seed. The current result was in line with that of Girma (2009) who reported that hundred seed weight and dry matter accumulation were increased with application of phosphorus fertilizer compared to the control plots. Similarly, Yamane and Skjelvåg (2003), in their experiment on field pea, indicated that increasing P application increased hundred seed weight. On the other hand, the highest hundred seed weights (73.88 g) was recorded for the widest plant spacing (50 x 15 cm) (133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), while the lowest was due to the narrowest plant spacing (30 x 10 cm) (300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 11). The variation in hundred seed weight might be due to in wider spaced plants, the supply of assimilates to be stored in the seed improved and the weight of hundred seeds increased.

#### 4.3.5. Seed moisture content (%)

The analysis of variance indicated that there was a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect of P on seed moisture content, while the difference due to population density and its interaction with P rate was highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Appendix Table 4). The highest and lowest seed moisture contents were recorded for application of 0 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (17.31%) and 69 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (16.31%), respectively (Table 11). The decreases in seeds moisture content as phosphorus rate increase might be due to use of phosphorus has been an effective means for improving growth such as early maturity, soil fertility, and improving the moisture-holding capacity of soils, increasing the soil cation exchange capacity and increasing crop yields. Similarly, the highest plant population (300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) resulted in the lowest seed moisture content (16.22%), while the highest value (17.70%) was recorded for the lowest plant population (133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 11). This might be due to increasing plant density (population) intensifies competition for solar radiation, soil nutrients and soil moisture.

Table 11: Effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on hundred seed weight (100SW) and seed moisture content percent.

| Treatments                                                      | 100SW              | Moist%             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                    |                    |
| 0                                                               | 69.29 <sup>b</sup> | 17.31 <sup>a</sup> |

|                                       |                     |                    |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 23                                    | 70.46 <sup>b</sup>  | 16.63 <sup>b</sup> |
| 46                                    | 71.29 <sup>b</sup>  | 16.59 <sup>b</sup> |
| 69                                    | 74.22 <sup>a</sup>  | 16.31 <sup>b</sup> |
| LSD (0.05) =                          | 2.216               | 0.4829             |
| Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                     |                    |
| 133,333                               | 73.88 <sup>a</sup>  | 17.70 <sup>a</sup> |
| 200,000                               | 72.27 <sup>ab</sup> | 16.67 <sup>b</sup> |
| 166,667                               | 72.68 <sup>a</sup>  | 16.82 <sup>b</sup> |
| 250,000                               | 69.66 <sup>bc</sup> | 16.30 <sup>b</sup> |
| 222,222                               | 71.52 <sup>ab</sup> | 16.56 <sup>b</sup> |
| 300,000                               | 67.91 <sup>c</sup>  | 16.22 <sup>b</sup> |
| LSD (0.05) =                          | 2.714               | 0.5914             |
| CV (%) =                              | 4.6                 | 4.3                |

Mean values followed by same letter (s) within a column for a given treatment level and parameter are not significantly different at 0.05 P level., LSD = least significant difference at 5% P level; CV= coefficient of variation.

The interaction effects of P and plant population, the highest hundred seed weight of faba bean (74.77) was recorded for 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> while the lowest value (64.73) was for 46 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 12). In agreement with this result, Mahmoud (2014) has reported that seed weight of faba bean significantly increased with increasing intra-rows spacing from 10 to 25 cm and the highest value (49 g) was obtained at 25 cm plant spacing compared to the narrowest spacing. Regarding the interaction effects of P and plant population, the lowest seed moisture content percent of faba bean (14.417) was recorded at 23 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 250,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> while the highest value (18.7) was for 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> interaction respectively (Table 12).

Table 12: Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on hundred seed weight and seed moisture content of faba bean.

| 100 Seed weight                                                 |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |

|              |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0            | 74.77 | 73.65 | 72.27 | 59.07 | 69.77 | 66.23 |
| 23           | 73.27 | 69.5  | 72.07 | 71.63 | 68.7  | 67.6  |
| 46           | 74.03 | 74.47 | 70.87 | 73.83 | 69.83 | 64.73 |
| 69           | 73.43 | 73.1  | 73.87 | 81.53 | 70.33 | 73.07 |
| LSD (0.05) = |       |       |       |       |       | 5.428 |
| CV (%) =     |       |       |       |       |       | 4.6   |

---

| Seed moisture%                                                  |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 18.7                                  | 17.367 | 17.867 | 17.8   | 17.2   | 14.933 |
| 23                                                              | 18                                    | 17.15  | 15.933 | 16.917 | 14.417 | 17.367 |
| 46                                                              | 17.6                                  | 16.967 | 16.567 | 16.067 | 17.267 | 15.1   |
| 69                                                              | 16.5                                  | 15.8   | 16.3   | 15.467 | 16.333 | 17.467 |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    |                                       |        |        |        |        | 1.1829 |
| CV (%) =                                                        |                                       |        |        |        |        | 4.3    |

---

#### 4.3.6. Grain yield per hectares (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The analysis of variance indicated that there was a highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect of population and interaction between the two factors on grain yield of faba bean (Appendix Table 4). The highest grain yield was obtained from application of P fertilizer at a rate of 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> (2983 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) while the lowest (2374 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the control plot (Table 13). All P fertilizer rates significantly increased grain yield of faba bean over the control. The increase in grain yield with application of phosphorus might be attributed to the fact that phosphorus increases crop growth and yield on soils which are naturally low in phosphorus and in soils that have been depleted (Mullins, 2001).

The current result was in agreement with those of Tekle *et al.* (2015) who; reported that significantly higher grain yield (3814.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded for application of 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> due to enhanced translocation of photo assimilates from vegetative biomass to grains. On the other hand, the highest grain yield (2893 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded for plant spacing of 30 x 10 cm (300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 13). Balanced growth and development

of plants need an optimum plant density, enable efficient utilization of available nutrients, soil water and better light interception by the plants. Moreover, high plant density can cause lodging, less light penetration in the crop canopy leading reduced photosynthetic efficiency of the plants resulting in drastic yield reduction (Lemerle *et al.*, 2006; and Shad *et al.*, 2010). On the other hand, plant density lower than the optimum exhibited lower grain yield per unit area which might be attributed to a lower number of plants per unit area and under utilization of the available resources. Similarly, Hashemi- Dezfouli and Herbert (1992) and Echarte *et al.* (2000) have that plant density below the optimum led to decreased use efficiency of available resources. Shad *et al.* (2010) have also observed a linear increase in grain yield with an increase in plant density and reached the highest value at a density of 450,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>.

The present result was in line with that of Kissi Wakweya *et al.* (2016) who reported that seed yield per hectare significantly increased with densities up to certain plant population m<sup>-2</sup>. Al-Suhaibani *et al.* (2013) have also stated that the highest and lowest seed yields were obtained with the highest and lowest plant density, respectively. These findings were similar to that of Dahmardeh *et al.* (2010) who stated biological, and seed yields were significantly increased with increasing plant density from 22 to 44 plant m<sup>-2</sup>. Similarly, Badawy (2011) has reported higher biological yield in sandy loam soil for higher plant density due to an increase in the number of plants in a unit's area. With regard to the interaction effect of P and plant population, significantly highest grain yield (3362 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 300,000, the lowest (2148 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded due to 0 and 133,333 interaction (Table 14). In agreement with the present result, Melaka (2014) who, reported that the highest (1219 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest (733 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) grain yields were recorded at 30 cm x 10 cm and 20 cm x 5 cm spacing combination, respectively of common bean.

#### 4.3.7. Harvest index (HI%)

The analysis of variance showed that both main effects (P rate and plant population) and their interaction were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for harvest index (Appendix Table 4). The highest harvest index (HI) was obtained with the application of 69 kg of  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest was recorded for the control treatment (Table 13). The increment in harvest index with application of P fertilizer might be due to the fact that an increase in grain yield due to adequate supply of phosphorous and, thus, translocation of more amount of photo-assimilates to grains. In line with this, Amanuel *et al.* (2000) have reported that harvest index of faba bean increased with application of a 20 kg of  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> due to enhanced grain yield production compared to the control treatment. Similarly, Rakesh *et al.* (2012) and Murat *et al.* (2009) have also reported a significant increase in harvest index of mung bean and field pea due to phosphorus application.

Unlike the case with P rate, harvest index significantly decreased with increasing plant population, with the highest value (22.07%) recorded for 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (50 x 15 cm) and the lowest (16.6%) for 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 cm x 10 cm spacing) (Table 13). Lower harvest index at higher plant populations, was associated with lower rate of increase in grain yield than the corresponding increase in total dry matter yield which might be due to intense competition between densely populated plants for nutrients, moisture and light, resulting in increased flower abortion, subsequently reduced harvest index. In line with this, AL-Rifaei *et al.* (2004) and Tekle *et al.* (2015) have indicated that low plant density results in higher HI as compared to higher plant densities. Mahmoud (2014) has also reported that harvest index was significantly affected by inter-and intra-row spacing and higher value (34.5%) was recorded for the wider spacing (50 cm x 25 cm) as compared to the narrower (40 cm by 15 cm) inter and intra-row spacing (21.6%). Regarding the interaction effects of P and plant population, harvest index was decreased with increasing plant population. Significantly highest harvest index (HI) value (21.47%) was achieved for the interaction of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> and the lowest (14.04%) was due to 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 222,222 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 14). Similarly, Yucel (2013) has reported increased harvest index of local bean as plant populations decreased.

Table 13: Effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on yield kilogram per hectare (Y kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (HI) of faba bean.

| Treatments                                                      | Y-kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | HI%                |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |                       |                    |
| 0                                                               | 2374 <sup>c</sup>     | 18.00 <sup>c</sup> |
| 23                                                              | 2693 <sup>b</sup>     | 18.63 <sup>c</sup> |
| 46                                                              | 2983 <sup>a</sup>     | 19.58 <sup>b</sup> |
| 69                                                              | 2889 <sup>a</sup>     | 20.32 <sup>a</sup> |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 151.9                 | 0.677              |
| Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )                           |                       |                    |
| 133,333                                                         | 2570 <sup>b</sup>     | 22.07 <sup>a</sup> |
| 200,000                                                         | 2616 <sup>b</sup>     | 20.84 <sup>b</sup> |
| 166,667                                                         | 2723 <sup>ab</sup>    | 20.55 <sup>b</sup> |
| 250,000                                                         | 2890 <sup>a</sup>     | 18.32 <sup>c</sup> |
| 222,222                                                         | 2717 <sup>ab</sup>    | 16.42 <sup>d</sup> |
| 300,000                                                         | 2893 <sup>a</sup>     | 16.60 <sup>d</sup> |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    | 186                   | 0.829              |
| CV (%) =                                                        | 8.3                   | 5.3                |

Mean values followed by same letter (s) within a column for a given treatment level and parameter are not significantly different at 0.05 P level., LSD = least significant difference at 5% P level; CV= coefficient of variation.

Table 14: Interaction effect of phosphorus and plant population on Grain yield per hectares ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and harvest index (%) of faba bean.

| Grain yield per hectares (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                 |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 2148                                  | 2326   | 2241   | 2558   | 2563   | 2406   |
| 23                                                              | 2460                                  | 2770   | 2809   | 2489   | 2708   | 2921   |
| 46                                                              | 2970                                  | 3160   | 2551   | 2658   | 3190   | 3362   |
| 69                                                              | 2701                                  | 2635   | 2863   | 3164   | 3110   | 2865   |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    |                                       |        |        |        |        | 372    |
| CV (%) =                                                        |                                       |        |        |        |        | 8.3    |
| Harvest index%                                                  |                                       |        |        |        |        |        |
| Treatments                                                      | Population (plants ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        |        |        |        |
| Phosphorus (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | 133333                                | 166667 | 200000 | 222222 | 250000 | 300000 |
| 0                                                               | 21.38                                 | 19.7   | 18.14  | 14.04  | 19.76  | 14.99  |
| 23                                                              | 21.02                                 | 19.23  | 20.44  | 16.79  | 17.91  | 16.36  |
| 46                                                              | 24.4                                  | 22.2   | 21.06  | 17.18  | 16.46  | 16.18  |
| 69                                                              | 21.47                                 | 21.05  | 23.73  | 17.65  | 19.18  | 18.85  |
| LSD (0.05) =                                                    |                                       |        |        |        |        | 1.658  |
| CV (%) =                                                        |                                       |        |        |        |        | 5.3    |

#### 4.4. Partial Budget Analysis

The economic analysis revealed that the highest net benefit (75831.318 Birr ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the application of 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> x 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the lowest net benefit (48403.953 Birr ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the lowest phosphorus application rate (23 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 15). Therefore, production of faba bean variety Gachena with 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> and 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> was found to be most productive and economical and, thus, could be recommended for the study area.

Table 15: Partial budget analysis for phosphorus application rate and plant population per hectare of faba bean at HU.

| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> x Pop.<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | AV. SY (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Adj. SY<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | TVC (Birr) | GFB (Birr) | NB (Birr) | MRR         | MRR%        |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| 23 x 133,333                                                   | 2459.63                       | 2213.67                           | 29074.497  | 77478.45   | 48403.953 | 392.2455157 | 39224.55157 |
| 46 x 133,333                                                   | 2969.96                       | 2672.964                          | 29091.713  | 93553.74   | 64462.027 | 932.7412872 | 93274.12872 |
| 23 x 200,000                                                   | 2809.4                        | 2528.46                           | 29120.802  | 88496.1    | 59375.298 | 1900.53216  | 190053.216  |
| 69 x 200,000                                                   | 2863.2                        | 2576.88                           | 29600.514  | 90190.8    | 60590.286 | 1690.265475 | 169026.5475 |
| 23 x 166,667                                                   | 2769.67                       | 2492.7                            | 29627.196  | 87244.5    | 57617.304 | 2010.239919 | 201023.9919 |
| 46 x 166,667                                                   | 3159.967                      | 2843.97                           | 29632.308  | 99538.95   | 69906.642 | 2404.017606 | 240401.7606 |
| 23 x 250,000                                                   | 2708.4867                     | 2437.638                          | 30113.202  | 85317.33   | 55204.128 | 1468.566832 | 146856.6832 |
| 46 x 250,000                                                   | 3179.53                       | 2861.58                           | 30120.922  | 100155.3   | 70034.378 | 1921.016839 | 192101.6839 |
| 46 x 222,222                                                   | 2657.767                      | 2391.99                           | 30605.518  | 83719.65   | 53114.132 | 10.39854799 | 1039.854799 |
| 69 x 222,222                                                   | 3163.7                        | 2847.33                           | 30607.526  | 99656.55   | 69049.024 | 7935.703187 | 793570.3187 |
| 23 x 300,000                                                   | 2920.943                      | 2628.849                          | 30635.312  | 92009.715  | 61374.403 | 6684.649752 | 668464.9752 |
| 46 x 300,000                                                   | 3380.23                       | 3042.21                           | 30646.032  | 106477.35  | 75831.318 | 1348.592817 | 134859.2817 |

P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> x Pop. (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)= phosphorus fertilizer rates with plant population per hectares combination; AV. SY (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) = average seed yield; Adj. SY = adjusted faba bean seed yield in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; TVC= total variable cost; Birr = Ethiopian birr; GFB= gross field benefit; NB= net benefit; MRR and MRR (%) = marginal rate of return as ratio of change in net benefit to change total variable cost and as percentage of marginal rate of return, respectively.



## 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

An ever-increasing world population requires producing more food in land which is gradually shrinking and losing its fertility each year due to over misappropriation and poor soil fertility managements. Faba bean is the most important food and export crop especially in the highlands of Ethiopia and it is the source of protein and cash for poor farmers. In view of this, an experiment was conducted to determine the effect of plant population (density) and phosphorous levels on yield components and yield of faba bean. The experiment consisted of six plant populations (133,333; 200,000; 166,667; 250,000; 222,222 and 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and four phosphorous application rates (0, 23, 46 and 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). A factorial experiment was conducted in RCBD with three replications.

Phosphorus application significantly influenced some phenological growth parameters and yield components of faba bean. The results showed that phosphorus rates had significant effect on number of days to 50% flowering and 90% physiological maturity, total number of nodules and number of effective nodules per plant, total leaf area per plant, leaf area index, plant height, number of primary tillers per plant, number of seeds per pod, hundred seed weight, above ground dry biomass yield, seed moisture content, grain yield per ha and harvest index. The highest rate of P (69 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) resulted in the lowest number of days to flowering (54.3 days) and physiological maturity (133.9 days). Similarly, the highest leaf area index (2.923), plant height (189.7 cm), number of primary branches per plant (3.278), number of seeds per pod (3.378), hundred seed weight (74.22 g), above ground dry biomass yield (15978 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and harvest index (20.32%) were recorded for the highest rate of P (69 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, but the highest grain yield (2633 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. In general, faba bean showed significant response to the application of phosphorus.

The results also indicated that almost all plant parameters were significantly affected by plant population density. Accordingly, plant population of 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 cm x 10 cm) showed earlier flowering (54.42 days) 90% physiological maturity (133.5 days) as compared to 133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, lower plant population (133,333 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) (50 cm x 15 cm) showed significantly higher values of leaf area, leaf area index,

number of primary branches per plant, hundred seed weight and harvest index as compared with high plant population (300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) (30 cm x 10 cm) highest grain yield per hectare was recorded for 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 cm x 10 cm). The interaction of phosphorus and plant population was significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) for leaf area index, total number of nodules, number of primary branches per plant, number of seeds per pod, above ground dry biomass yield, hundred seed weight, seed moisture percentage, grain yield per hectares and harvest index.

### **Recommendations**

The highest grain yield per hectare and maximum economic return were recorded due to application of 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> with 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 cm x 10 cm). Therefore, application of 46 kg of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> with 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (30 cm x 10 cm plant spacing) could be a feasible recommendation for faba bean production in the study area and in areas with similar soils and agro-ecologies. However, as this study was done for one season at one location, a similar experiment has to be further carried out in different seasons and over locations to come up with a more comprehensive recommendation.

## 6. REFERENCES

- Abdalla, M. M. F., Darwish, D.S., Ali, A.A. and El-Emam, E.A.A., 2014. Investigation on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Variability and clustering of faba bean Land Races. *Journal of Plant Breeding*, 4: 257-272.
- Abdel, L. Y. I., 2008. Effect of seed size and plant spacing on yield and yield components of Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 4:146-148.
- Abou- Salama, A .M. and Dawood, R. A., 1994. Effect of rate and timing of phosphorus application on yield and quality of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Assuit Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 25(3): 15-29.
- Adak, M. S. and Kibritci, M., 2016. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels on nodulation and yield components in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Legume Research*. 39: 991-994.
- Adjei, M.B. and Chambeiss, C.G., 2002. Nitrogen fixation and inoculation of forage legumes. An electronic publication of Agronomy Department. University of Florida.
- Agegnehu, G.; Ghiza,W.A. and Sinebo W., 2008. Yield potential and land-use efficiency of wheat and faba bean mixed intercropping. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 28: 257-263.
- Ahmad, R., Mahmood, T., Farrukh, M.S. and Ahmad, S., 2002. Comparative performance of two sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) varieties under different row spacing. *Asian Journal Plant Science*, 1(5): 546-547.
- Ahmed, M.A. and El-Abagy., 2007. Effect of bio-and mineral phosphorus fertilizer on the growth, productivity and nutritional and value of some faba bean (*Vicia faba* L) in newly cultivated land. *Journal of Applied Science Research*, 3 (6): 408-420.
- Al-Abduselamand, M.A. and Abdai, K.S., 1995. Effect of plant density and certain pesticides on growth, yield and rhizobial nodulation of faba bean. *Journal King Saudi University, Agricultural Science*, 7: 249-257.
- Al-Farhan, H.N. and Al-Rawi, D.F., 2002. The effect of some phosphorus fertilizer on the quality and yield of Zea mays. University of Aden. *Journal National and Applied Science*, 6:(1) 33–40.
- Almaz Gezahegn and Kindie Tesfaye, 2017. Optimum inter and intra-row spacing for faba bean production under Fluvisols. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4: 10-19
- Al-Rifae, M. O. H., Turk, D. M. A. and Tawaha, A. R. M., 2004. Effect of seed size and plant population density on yield and yield components of local faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. major). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 6: 294-299.

- Al-Rifae, M.K., 1999. Effect of seed size and plant population density on yield and yield components of local faba bean. M.Sc. Thesis, *Jordan University of Science and Technology*, Irbid, Jordan.
- Al-Suhaibani, N., El-Hendawy, S. and Schmidhalter, U., 2013. Influence of varied plant density on growth, yield and economic return of drip irrigated Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 18(2): 185-197.
- Amanuel, G. Kuhne, R.F., Tanner; D.G. and Vlek, P.L.G., 2000. Biological N fixation in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) in the Ethiopian highlands as affected by P fertilization and inoculation. *Biological Fertilizer Soils*, 32: 53-59.
- Amanullah, A., M. Asif, K. Nawab, Z. Shah, M. Hassan, Z. Khan, K. Khalil, Z. Hussain, M. Tariq and Rahman, H., 2010. Impact of planting density and P fertilizer source on the growth analysis of maize. *Pakistan Journal Botany* 42: 2349- 2357.
- Amare Ghizaw, Tekalign Mamo, Adamu Molla, Zewdu Yilma and Yeshanew Ashagre, 1999. Nitrogen and phosphorous effects on faba bean yield and yield components. *Journal of Agronomy and crop Science* 182: 167-174.
- Angaw Tsige and Asnakew Woldeabe, 1994. Fertilizer response trials on high land food legumes. In: Asfaw, T., Geletu, B., Saxena, M.C. and Solah, M.B. (eds.). *Cool season food legumes of Ethiopia. Proceeding of the 1<sup>st</sup> National Cool-Season Food Legumes Review Conference*, 16-20 December 1993. Addis Ababa Ethiopia.
- Asgelil Dibabe, 2000. Effect of fertilizer on the yield and nodulation pattern of faba bean on a Nitisols of Adet North-western Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Natural Resources*, 2: 237- 44.
- Ayaz, S., D.L. McNeil, B. A., McKenzie and Hill, G.D., 2001. Density and sowing depth effects on yield. Components of grain legumes. *Agronomy Journal of New Zealand*, 29: 9-15.
- Badawy, R. A. W., 2011. Effect of planting date and plant density on growth and yield of some faba bean varieties. Published by Cairo University Faculty of Agriculture, Egypt.
- Bakry, B.A., Elewa, T.A., El-Karamany, M.F., Zeidan, M.S. and Tawfik, M.M., 2011. Effect of row spacing on yield and its components of some faba bean varieties under newly reclaimed sand soil condition. *World Journal of Agricultural Science*, 7(1): 68-72.
- Bennett, M., 1993. Towards ethnorelativism: A developmental model of intercultural sensitivity. In M. Paige (Ed.), *Education for the intercultural experience*. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press.

- Berecha, G.R., 2015. Genetic variability and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield related traits in common bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) Accessions at Haramaya University, East Hararghe, Ethiopia.
- Bereket H., Stomph T.J. and Hoffl E., 2011. Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) production constraint of low soil Zinc as yield-limiting factors. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 57(4): 587-596.
- Bianchi, A.A., 1979. Results of three years of experimental trials on the cultural techniques of the horse bean for seeding (*Vicia faba minor*). Plant densities and distance between the rows. *Beck. Review Agronomy*, 13: 201-206.
- Bilalis, D., Sidiras, N., Economou, G. and Vakali, C., 2003. Effect of different levels of wheat straw soil surface coverage on weed flora in *Vicia faba* crops. *Journal of Agronomy*. Crop Science. 189: 233–241.
- Bolland, M. D. A., Siddique, K. H. M. and Brennan, R. F., 2000. Grain yield responses of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to applications of fertilizer phosphorus and zinc. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. 40: 849–852.
- Bonari, E. and Macchia, M., 1975. Effect of plant density on yield and yield components of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. *minor*) Beck. *Review Agronomy*, 9: 416-423.
- Bond, D.A., Lawes, G.C., Saxena and Stephens, J.S., 1985. Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.). 199-265. In: R.J. Summerfield and E.H. Roberts (eds.), *Grain Legume Crops*. William Collins Sons Co. Ltd. 8 Grafton Street, London, W1X 3LA, UK.
- Bouyoucos, G. J., 1951. A recalibration of the hydrometer method for making mechanical analysis of soils. *Agronomy Journal*, 43: 434–438.
- Brady, N.C. and Weil, R.R., 2002. *The nature and properties of soils* 13<sup>th</sup> edition. Pearson education Ltd., USA. 960 pp.
- Brand, J., Murray, L., Walele, C., Beveridge, M., Rodda, M. and Paull, J., 2016. *Agronomy best practices with pulses –Victoria*.
- Brown, A., Bragatheswaran, G., Foster, M., Jackson, T., and Lawrence, L., 2009. *Australian Crop Report*. Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resources Economics. Canberra. 149(3): 24-27.
- Buttery B.R., 1969. “Analysis of the growth of soybeans as affected by plant population and fertilizer”. Canadian. *Journal of Plant Science*. 49: 675-684.
- Caliskan, S., Aslan, M., Uremis, I. and Caliskan, M.E., 2007. The effect of row spacing on yield and yield components of full season and double cropped haricot bean. Turkey. *Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 31: 147-154.

- Carranca, C., 2013. The role of legumes for sustainability: Research needs for future prospects. In: Camis o, A.H. and Pedroso, C.C. (eds) - Symbiosis: Evolution, Biology and Ecological Effects, Animal Science, Issues and Professions, Nova Science Publishers, New York, pp. 177-188.
- Carranca, C., de Varennes, A. and Rolston, D., 1999. Biological nitrogen fixation by faba bean, pea and chickpea, under field conditions estimated by the <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution technique. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 10 (1) pp. 49-56.
- Chahal and Gosal, 2002. Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) genetics and Breeding Research in Ethiopia: A Review. In: Ali k, Kenneni G, Ahmed S (eds). Food and Forage legumes of Ethiopia: Progress and prospects. Proceedings of the workshop on Food and Forage Legume, 22-26 September, 2003. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Chapman, H., 1965. Cation-exchange capacity. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties (891-901). Madison, WI: Alliance of crop, soil and environmental science society.
- Christensen, S.P.L., 1974. Various seed rates and row spacing for yield beans and inter-row cultivation in field bean. *Tidsskrift Planteavl*, 78: 379-388.
- CIMMYT (Centro International Mejoramiento Maize y Trigo), 1988. from Agronomic Data to Farmer Recommendations: An Economics Training Manual. Completely revised edition. Mexico. D.F.
- Cottenie, A., 1980. Soil and plant testing as a basis of fertilizer recommendations. FAO soil bulletin 38/2. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*, Rome.
- CSA (Central Statistics Agency), 2018. Area and production of major crops of private peasant holdings, Meher season of 2017/2018 (2010 E.C.) Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Cubero, J. I., 2011. The faba bean: a historic perspective. *Grain Legumes*, 56: 5-7.
- Dahmardeh, M., Ramroodi, M. and Valizadeh, J., 2010. Effect of plant density and cultivars on growth, yield and yield components of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 9(50): 8643-8647.
- Dawit Taddesse, Asfaw Telaye and Geletu Bejiga, 1994. Genetic Resources in Ethiopia. In: Cool-Season Food Legumes of Ethiopia, Asfaw, T. (eds.). ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria, 79-96.
- Demissie Alemayehu and Deresa Shumi, 2018. Response of Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to Phosphorus Nutrient Application in Bore Highlands, Guji Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Agriculture Research and Technology: Open Access Journal*. 17(4): 556029.

- Derogar, N., Mojaddam, M. and Nejad, T.S., 2014. The effect of plant population on growth parameters and seed yield of faba bean. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 4 (3):149-157.
- Donald, C. M., 1963. Competition among crop and pasture plants. *Advanced Agronomy*, 15: 1-118.
- Duc, G., Agrama, H., Bao, S., Berger, J., Bourion. V., De Ron, A.M., Gowda, C.L.L., Mikic, A., Warketin, T.D. and Zong, X., 2014 - Breeding annual grain legumes for sustainable agriculture: New methods to approach complex traits and target new cultivar video-type *Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 34 (1-3): 381-411.
- Duc, G., Aleksié, J.M., Marget, P., Mikié, A., Paull, J., Redden, R.J., Sass, O., Stoddard, F.L., Vandenberg, A., Vishnyakova M. and Torres, A.M., 2015 - Faba bean. In: Antonio M. De Ron (ed.) - Grain Legumes. Handbook of Plant Breeding. Springer, London. pp. 141-178.
- Duke, J.A., 1981. Handbook of Legumes of World Economic Importance. 1<sup>st</sup> edition, Plenum Press, New York, pp: 199-265.
- Echarte L., S. Luque, H. Andrade, O. Sandras, A. Cirilo, E. Otegui and Vega, C., 2000. Response of maize kernel number to plant density in Argentinean hybrids released between 1965 and 1993. *Field Crops Research*. 68: 1-8.
- Elhag, A.Z., 2014. Effects of Sowing Date and Plant Population on Snap Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Growth and Pod Yield in Khartoum State. *Universal Journal Agriculture Research*. 2: 115-118.
- Epstein, E., 1972. Mineral nutrition of plants: principles and perspectives. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, Sydney, Toronto.
- Fan, F., Zhang, F., Song, Y., Sun, J., Bao, X., Guo, T. and Li, L., 2006. Nitrogen fixation of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) interacting with a non-legume in a two contrasting intercropping systems. *Plant and Soil*, 283 (1): 275-286.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2017. Food and Agriculture Organization. United Nations.
- FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database), 2016. Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database.
- Farag, S.A. and El-Shamm, H.A., 2004. Effect of irrigation intervals and plant distances on the growth and seed yield of broad bean plants. *Annual Agricultural Science, Moshtohor*, 32 (4): 2071-2081.

- Fernández-Aparicio, M., Emeran, A.A.M. and Rubiales, D., 2011. Inter-cropping faba bean with berseem, fenugreek or oat can contribute to broom rape management. *Grain Legumes*, 56, pp. 31.
- Fixen, P.E. and Bruulsema, T.W., 2014. Potato management challenges created by *phosphorus chemistry and plant roots*. *American Journal Potato Research* 91: 121-131.
- Galloway, J.N., Aber, J.D., Erisman, J.W., Seitzinger, P., Howarth, R.P., Cowling, E.B. and Cosby, J.B., 2003. The Nitrogen Cascade. *Bioscience*, 53 (4): 341-356.
- Ganeshamurthy AN. and Reddy KS., 2000. Effect of integrated use of farmyard manure and sulphur in a soybean and wheat cropping system on nodulation, dry matter production and chlorophyll content of soybean on swell-shrink soils in Central India. *Journal Agronomy Crop Science*; 185: 91-97.
- Gen-Stat, 2012. Gen-Stat Procedure Library Release. 18<sup>th</sup> edition. VSN International Ltd.
- Getachew Agegnehu and Rezene Fessehaie, 2006. Response of Faba Bean to Phosphate Fertilizer and Weed Control on Nitisols of Ethiopian Highlands, Holeta Agricultural Research Center, EARO, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 23-25.
- Getachew Agegnehu and Rezene Fessehaie, 2007. Response of faba bean to phosphate fertilizer and weed control on Nitisols of Ethiopian highlands. *Italian Journal of Agronomy*, 1: 281-290.
- Getachew Agegnehu, 2011. Phosphate fertilizer and weed control effects on growth and yield of field pea on Nitisols of central highlands of Ethiopia. *SINET: Ethiopian Journal of Science* 32:109-116.
- Gezahegn, A. M., Tesfaye, K., Sharma, J. J. and Bebel. M. D., 2016. Determination of optimum plant density for faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) on vertisols at Haramaya, Eastern Ethiopia. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2(1): 1224485.
- Giller, K.E., McDonagh, J.E. and Cadisch, G., 1994. Can biological nitrogen fixation sustain agriculture in the tropics? 173-191. *In: Syers, J.K. and Rimmer, D.L. (eds). Soil science and sustainable land management in the tropics*. CABI, Wallingford, UK.
- Girma Abebe, 2009. Effect of NP Fertilizer and Moisture Conservation on the Yield and Yield Components of Common bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) In the Semi-Arid Zones of the Central Rift Valley in Ethiopia. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 3(3): 302-307.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A., 1984. Stastical procedure for Agricultural Research (2<sup>nd</sup> edition). John Wiley and Sons. Inc., New York.



- Hailu, E., G. Getaneh, T. Sefera, N. Tadesse, B. Bitew, A. Boydom and Temesgen T., 2014. Faba Bean Gall; a New Threat for Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L) Production in Ethiopia. *Advances in Crop Science and Technology*. 2(4): 144.
- Halvin, J.L., Bedton, J.D. and Tisdale, S.L., 1999. Soil fertility and fertilizers. Macmillan, Public Cooperation. 45pp.
- Hashemi-dzefouli A. and Herbert J., 1992. Intensifying plant density response of corn with artificial shade. *Agronomy Journal*. 88: 82-84.
- Hawtin G. and Hebblethwaite P., 1983. Background and history of faba bean production. *The faba bean*. p. 3-22.
- Heuzé, V., Tran, G., Delagarde, R., Lessire, M. and Lebas, F., 2016. Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L). Feedipedia, a Programmed by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO.
- Hodgson, G. L. and Blackman, G. E., 2005. An analysis of the influence of plant density on the growth of *Vicia faba*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 7: 147–165.
- Hussain, N. Khan, A.Z., Akbar, H. and Akhtar, S., 2006. Growth factors and yield of maize as influenced by phosphorus and potash fertilization. *Journal of Agriculture*. 22(4): 579-583.
- Hussein, L.A. and Salih, M., 1985. Anti-nutritional factors in faba beans. p. 257-269. In: M.C. Saxena and S. Verma (eds.), *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Faba Beans, Kabuli Chickpeas and Lentils in the 1980s*. ICARDA, 16-20 May, 1983. Aleppo, Syria.
- Imran, S., M. Arif, A. Khan, A., Khan, M.A., Shah, W. and Latif, A., 2015. Effect of nitrogen levels and plant population on yield and yield components of maize. *Advances in Crop Science and Technology*. pp. 1-7.
- Iqbal, R.M. and Iqbal Chauhan, H.Q., 2003. Relationship between different growth and yield parameters in maize under varying levels of P. *Journal of Biological Science*, (3): 921-925.
- Jackson ML., 1958. Soil chemical analysis Prentice-Hall. Inc. Sixth printing Department of Soil Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA, pp. 496.
- Jamont, M.; Piva, G. and Fustec, J., 2013. Sharing N resources in the early growth of rapeseed intercropped with faba bean: does N transfer matter? *Plant Soil*, 371 (1) pp. 641-653.
- Jensen, E. S., Peoples, M. B. and Haggard-Nielsen, H., 2010. Faba bean in cropping systems. *Field Crops Research*, 115 (3): 203-216.

- Khalil, S.K., Amanullah, A.W. and Khan, A.Z., 2010. Variation in leaf traits, yield and yield components of faba bean in response to planting dates and densities. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Science*, 2(1): 35-73.
- Khalil, S.K., Wahab, A., Rahman, A., Muhammad, F., Wahab, S., Khan, A.Z., Zubair, M., Shah, M.K., Khalil, I.H. and Amin, R., 2010. Density and planting date influence phenological development assimilate partitioning and dry matter production of faba bean. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 42(6): 3831-3838.
- Kindie Tesfaye, 2004. Field comparisons of resources utilization and production of three grain legume species under water stress. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, Republic of South Africa, pp. 234.
- Kissi Wakweya, Reta Dargie and Tamiru Meleta, 2016. Effect of Sowing Date and Seed Rate on Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Growth, Yield and Components of Yield at Sinana, Highland Conditions of Bale, Southeastern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Agricultural Sciences*, 3(1): 025-034.
- Koivunen, E., Partanen, K., Pertilä, S., Palander, S., Tuunainen, P. and Valaja, J., 2016. Digestibility and energy value of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) and blue lupin (narrow-leaf) (*Lupinus angustifolius*) seeds in broilers. *Animal Feed Science Technology*, 218: 120–127.
- Köpke, U. and Nemecek, T., 2010. Ecological services of faba bean. *Field Crops Research*. 115: 217–233.
- Kubure, T.E., Raghavaiah, C.V. and Hamza, I., 2016. Production Potential of Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Genotypes in Relation to Plant Densities and Phosphorus Nutrition on Vertisols of Central Highlands of West Showa Zone, Ethiopia, East Africa. *Advanced Crop Science Technology*. 4: 214.
- Lamb, J., 2004. Grain Legume Handbook Committee. The role of pulses. Grain Legume Handbook., a Poddar (eds.). Grain Legume Handbook Committee.
- Lemerle, D., B. Verbeek and Diffey, S., 2006. Influence of field pea (*Pisum sativum*) density on grain yield and competitiveness with annual rye grass (*Lolium rigidum*) in South-Eastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. 46: 1465-1472.
- Li, B.; Li, Y.Y., Wu, H.M., Zhang, F.F., Li, C.J., Lambers, H. and Li, L., 2016. Root exudates drive interspecific facilitation by enhancing nodulation and N<sub>2</sub> fixation. *Proceedings National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 113 (23): 6496-6501.
- Lines, M. L. McMurray, J Paull. and Jeisman, C., 2013. Faba bean varieties and management, 2012, Trials Results Book, Mac Killop Farm Management Group.

- Lithourgidis, A.S., Dordas, C.A., Damalas, C.A. and Vlachostergios, D.N., 2011. Annual intercrops: an alternative pathway for sustainable agriculture. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 5 (4): 396-410.
- Madani, H. and Naderi Boroojerdi, I G.H., 2008. The effect of chemical phosphorus fertilizers and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on grain yield, biological yield, and relative content of phosphorus in tissues of fall canola. *Journal of agriculture and plant breeding*. 6:93-104.
- Mahmoud, E. M., 2014. Effect of intra-row spacing and seed size on yield and seed quality of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, (10): 665-670.
- Majumdar, M.V. and Saxena, M.C., 1985. Agronomy of faba beans, lentils and chickpeas. p. 229-244. In: M.C. Saxena and S. Verma (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Workshop on Faba Beans, Kabuli Chickpeas and Lentils in the 1980s. ICARDA, 16-20 May, 1983. Aleppo, Syria.
- Marschner, H., 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Harcourt science and Technology Company, New York, London, Sydney, Tokyo, Boston. pp 889.
- Martin J. H., Waldren R. P. and Stamp D. L., 2006. Principles of Field Crop Production. Fourth edition, Macmillan Publishing Corporation: USA.
- Martin, J.H., Leonardo, W.H. and Stamp, O.L., 1976. Principles of crop production. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Macmillan publishing Co., Inc. New York.
- Mehmet, O.Z., 2008. Nitrogen rate and plant population effects on yield and yield components in soybean. *African Biotechnology Journal*, 7(24): 4464-4470.
- Mehrvarz, S., Chaichi, M. R. and Alikhani, H. A., 2008. Effects of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus chemical fertilizer on yield and yield components of Barely (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Am-Euras. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 3: 822-828.
- Melak Agajie, 2014. Effect of spacing on yield components and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) at Assosa, western Ethiopia. MSc. Thesis presented to Haramaya University, Ethiopia. 39pp.
- Meseret Turuko and Amin Mohammed, 2014. Effect of Different Phosphorus Fertilizer Rates on Growth, Dry Matter Yield and Yield Components of Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *World Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2(3): 88-92.
- Metayer, N., 2004. *Vicia faba* breeding for sustainable agriculture in Europe. Feverole, G. (Ed.). Procedures of faba bean improvement through hybridization. 48 p.

- Ministry of Agriculture and Natural resources, 2008. Crop Variety Register. Plant Variety, Protection and Seed Quality Control Directorate, Issue No.19 Addis Ababa Ethiopia pp 92.
- Mohamed, S. E. and Babiker, H. M., 2012. Effects of Rhizobium inoculation and urea fertilization on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) production in a semi-desert zone. *Advantage Environmental Biology*. 6: 824–830.
- Moosavi, S. G., Seghatoleslami, M. J. and Moazeni, A., 2012. Effect of planting date and plant density on morphological traits, LAI and forage corn (Science. 370) yield in second cultivation. *International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences*. 3(1): 57-63.
- Mullins G., 2001. Phosphorus, agriculture and the environment. Virginia Cooperative Extension, Virginia State University. pp. 424-429.
- Muñoz-Romero, V., López-Bellido, L. and López-Bellido, R. J., 2011. Faba bean root growth in a Vertisols: tillage effects. *Field Crops Research*. 120: 338–344.
- Murat, E., Bunyamin, Y., Necat, T. and Fatih, C., 2009. Effect of phosphorus application and rhizobium inoculation on the yield, nodulation and nutrient uptake in field pea (*Pisum sativum* sp. *arvense* L.). Medwell publishing. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 8 (2): 301-304.
- Ngugi D.N., 1982. Agronomic concepts of potato with reference to increasing the potential yield under tropical conditions. Potato seed production for Tropical Africa. CIP Lima, Peru.
- Niewiadomska, A., Barłóg, P., Borowiak, K. and Wolna-Maruwka, A., 2015. The effect of sulphur and potassium fertilization on the nitrogenase and microbial activity in soil under broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivation. *Fresenius Environmental Bull.* 24: 723-732.
- Nikfarjam, S. G. and Aminpanah, H., 2015. Effects of phosphorus fertilization and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain on the growth and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *IDESIA (Chile)*, 33 (4): 15-21.
- Nozzolillo, C., Ricciardi, L. and Lattanzio, V., 1989. Flavonoid constituents of seed coats of *Vicia faba* (Fabaceae) in relation to genetic control of their color. *Canada Journal Botany*. 67: 1600-1604.
- Oad, N.L., 2002. Inter and intra row spacing effect on the growth, seed yield and oil content of sunflower. *Asian Plant Science Journal*, 1: 18-19.
- Ogunlella B., M. Amoruwa and Olongunde, O., 2005. Growth, yield components and micronutrient nutrition of field-grown maize (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by nitrogen fertilization and plant density. *Nutrient Cycling Agro ecology* 17 (2): 189-196.

- Olsen, S. R., Cole, F. S. and Dean, L. A., 1954. Estimation of Available Phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate (Circular No. 939). Washington, DC: USDA.
- Onwueme I.C. and Sinha, T.D., 1991. Field crop production in Tropical Africa, Principles and practice, CTA (Technical center for agriculture and rural cooperation) The Netherlands.
- Pandey, M.K., Roorkiwal, M., Singh, V.K., Ramaligan, A., Kudapa, H., Thudi, M., Chitikineni, A., Rathore, A. and Varshney, R.K., 2016. Emerging Genomic tools for legume breeding: current status and future prospects. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, art. 455.
- Parvez, A.Q., Gardner, F.P. and Boote, K.J., 1989. Density and sowing depth effects on yield components of grain legumes. *Crop Science*, 29: 150-157.
- Paull, J., Kimber, R. and Leur, J., 2011. Faba bean breeding and production in Australia. *Grain Legumes*, (56): 15-16.
- Pelzer, E., Bazot, M., Makowski, D., Corre-Hellou, G., Naudin, C., Al Rifai, M., Baranger, E., Bedoussac, L., Biarnès, V., Boucheny, P., Carrouée, B., Dorvillez, D., Yvergniaux, M., Justes, E. and Jeuffroy, M.H., 2012. Pea-wheat intercrops in low-input conditions combine high economic performances and low environmental impacts. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 40: 39-53.
- Pendleton, J.W. and Hartwing, E.E., 1973. Crop Production and Management, 211-237. In: B.E. Caldwell (ed.) *Soybeans Improvement, Production and Uses*.
- Pulse Australia, 2016. Best management guide. Faba bean production: Southern and Western Region. *Pulse Australia*, 15 January 2016.
- Rakesh, Y., Singh, S., Singh, A.M., Latore, P.K. and Mishira, S., 2012. Effect of Phosphorus and sulphur nutrition on yield and yield attributes of mung bean. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 4(5): 2571-2573.
- Rao I.M., Friesen D.K. and Osaki M., 1998. Plant adaptation to Phosphorus-limited Tropical soils. *Hand book of plant and crop stress*, Marcel Dekker Inc., pp. 61-65.
- Reckling, M., Hecker, J.M., Bergkvist, G., Watson, C.A., Zander, P., Schläfke, N., Stoddard, F.L., Eory, V., Topp, C.F.E., Maire, J. and Bachinger, J., 2016. A cropping system assessment framework evaluating effects of introducing legumes into crop rotations. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 76: 186-197.
- Robertson, L.D., K.B. Singh, W. Erskine, Ali M. and Abdu El Moneim., 1996. Useful genetic diversity in germplasm collections of food and forage legumes from West Asia and North Africa. *Germplasm Resources and Crop Evolution* 43:447-460. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands.

- Robinson, B. and Raynes, M., 2013. Growing faba bean, Agnote, AG0083. Victorian Department of Environment and Primary Industries. plant growth and physiology. 5: 8-9. June, 2018.
- Ronner E. and Giller KE., 2012. Background Information on Agronomy, Farming Systems and ongoing Projects on Grain Legumes in Ethiopia. *N2Africa*, 33: 14-15.
- Sainte M., 2011. The magazine of the European Association for Grain Legume Research. Issue No. 56 Model Legume Congress, France, 15-19 May, 2011.
- Salem, S. A. and EL-Nakhlawy, F.S., 1987. *Alexandria Journal of Agricultural Research*. 32(2): 139–148.
- Salih, F.A., 1985. The effect of plant population and plant orientation on faba bean yield at Shambat area of Sudan. *FABES*, 13: 28-28.
- Sangakkara, U. R., Hartwig, U. A. and Nösberger, J., 1996. Soil moisture and potassium affect the performance of symbiotic nitrogen fixation in faba bean and common bean. *Plant Soil* 184: 123–130.
- Schröder D. and Köpke, U., 2012. Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) intercropped with oil crops – a strategy to enhance rooting density and to optimize nitrogen use and grain production? *Fields Crops Research*, 135, pp. 7481.
- Selim, M.M. and EL-Seessy, M.A.A., 1991. *Egyptian Journal of Agronomy*. 10(1-2): 239-251.
- Senayit Yetneberk and Asrat Wondimu, 1994. Utilization of cool season food legumes in Ethiopia. pp. 60-75. In: Asfaw, T., Saxena, M., Solh, M., Geletu, B. (eds). *Cool season food legumes of Ethiopia. Proceedings of the first national food legumes review conference*, 16-20 December 1993. Addis Abeba, Ethiopia.
- Shad, K., Khalil, A., Wahab, A., Rehman, F. M., Wahab, S., Khan, A. and Amin, R., 2010. Density and planting date influence phenological development assimilate partitioning and dry matter production of faba bean. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 42: 3831-3838.
- Shamsi, K. and Kobraee, S., 2009. Effect of plant density on the growth, yield and yield components of three soybean varieties under climatic conditions of Kermanshah, Iran. *Animal and Plant Sciences Journal*, 2(2): 96 – 99.
- Shubhashree, K.S., 2007. Response of Rajmash (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) To the Levels of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium during Rabi in the Northern Transition Zone. *Bean Legume Research*, (23): 33-36.

- Sims, J.T. and Sharpley, A.N., 2005. Phosphorus: Agriculture and the Environment. Madison: *American Society of Agronomy*, introduction Agronomy monograph no. 46.
- Singh A.K. and Singh S.S., 2000. "Effect of planting dates, nitrogen and phosphorus levels on yield contributing characters in French. 2 (3): 88-92.
- Singh N.P. and Singh, R.A., 2002. Scientific crop production, X press Graphics, Delhi-28, India
- Singh, A. K., B. P. Bhatt, P. K. Sundaram, A. K. Gupta and Deepak Singh, 2013. Planting geometry to optimize growth and productivity in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) and soil fertility. *Journal of Environmental Biology*. 34(1): 117.
- Singh, A. K., Bhatt, B. P., Upadhyaya, A., Kumar, S., Sundaram, P. K. and Singh, B. K., 2012. Improvement of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) yield and quality through biotechnological approach: a review. *African Journal Biotechnology*. 11: 15264–15271.
- Sitou, A. and Mywish, M., 2011. Global and Regional Trends in Production, Trade and Consumption of Food Legume Crops; Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics of Michigan State University, Report Submitted to SPIA, March 27, 2011.
- Smýkal, P., Coyne, C.C., Ambrose, M.J., Maxted, N., Schaefer, H., Blair, M.W., Berger, J., Greene, S.L. Toker, C., Saxena, R.K., Roorkiwal, M., Manish Redden, R.J. and Varshney, R.K., 2015. Legume crops phylogeny and genetic diversity for science and breeding, *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 34: (1-3): 43-104.
- Solomon Abate, 2003. Effects of irrigation frequency and plant population density on growth, Soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 4: 2281-2289.
- Solomon Abate, 2010. Effects of irrigation frequency and plant population density on growth, yield components and yield of haricot bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Dire Dawa area. M.Sc. Thesis Presented to Haramaya University, Ethiopia. 37pp.
- Stoddard, F.L., Nicholas, A.H., Rubiales, D., Thomas, J. and Villegas-Fernández, A.M., 2010. Integrated pest management in faba bean. *Field Crops Research*, 115 (3): 308-318.
- Tadele Buraka, Zemach Sorsa and Alemu Lelago, 2016. Response of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba* L.) to Phosphorus Fertilizer and Farm Yard Manure on Acidic Soils in Boloso Sore Woreda, Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Food Science and Quality Management*. ISSN 2224-6088 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0557 (Online) Vol.53.

- Tairo, E.V. and Ndakidemi, P.A., 2013. Brady rhizobium japonicum Inoculation and Phosphorus Supplementation on Growth and Chlorophyll Accumulation in soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 4: 2281-2289.
- Taj, F.H., Akber, H., Basir, A. and Ullah, N., 2002. Effect of row spacing on agronomic traits and yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiate* L.). *Asian Plant Science Journal*, 1(4): 328-329.
- Tawaha, A.M. and Turk, M.A., 2001. Effect of date and rate of sowing on yield and yield components of carbon vetch under semi-arid condition. *Acted Agronomy. Hung.*, 49: 103-105.
- Tekalign Tadesse, 1991. Soil, plant, water, fertilizer, animal manure and compost analysis. Working Document No. 13. *International Livestock Research Center for Africa*, Addis Ababa.
- Tekle Edossa., Kubure, R., Cherukuri, V., Chavhan, A. and Ibrahim H., 2015. Effect of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) genotypes, plant densities and phosphorus on productivity, nutrients uptake, soil fertility changes and economics in Central high lands o Ethiopia. *International Journal of Life Sciences*, 3 (4): 287-305.
- Telaye Asfaw, 1994. Cool season food legumes in East Africa. In. *World crops: Cool season food legumes*. Springer Netherlands. pp. 1113-1124.
- Tesfaye Dejene, 2015. Response of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to application of lime and phosphorus on acidic soils of Areka, southern Ethiopia. MSc. Thesis presented to Haramaya University, Ethiopia. 26pp.
- Tilahun Tadesse, Alemayehu Assefa, Minale Liben and Zelalem Tadesse, 2013. Effects of nitrogen split-application on productivity, nitrogen use efficiency and economic benefits of maize production in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Agricultural policy and Research*, 1 (4): 109-115.
- Tilman, D., Reich, P.B. and Knops, J.M.H., 2006. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability in a decade long grassland experiment. *Nature Letters*, 441: 629-632.
- Towshih, V. and Sadri, M.H., 2003. Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> Iranian Soil Science Congress. pp: 369 -372.
- Turk, M.A. and Tawaha, A.M., 2002. Impact of seeding rate, seeding date, rate and method of phosphorus application in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. minor) in the absence of moisture stress. *Biotechnology and Agronomy Society Environment*, 6 (3): 171-178.
- Turk, M.A., Tawaha, M.K.J. and El-shatnawi, 2003. Reponses of lentil (*Lensculinarismed* K) to plant density, sowing date, phosphorus fertilization and ethephon application in the absence of moisture stress. *Journal Agriculture Crop Science*, 189: 1-6.



- Van Reeuwijk, L.P., 1992. Procedures for Soil Analysis. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, International Soil Reference and Information Center (ISRIC), Wageningen, 34 p.
- Veeresh N.K., 2003. "Response of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to fertilizer levels in Northern Transitional Zone of Karnataka" MSc. (Agriculture.) Thesis, University Agriculture Science, Dharma. pp: 37-79.
- Walkley, A. and Black. C.A., 1954. An examination of Degtjareff methods for determining soil organic matter and proposed modification of the chromic acid titration methods. *Soil Science*. 37: 29-38
- Wang, H.F., Zong, X.X., Guan, J.P., Yang, T., Sun, X.L., Ma, Y. and Redden, R., 2012. Genetic diversity and relationship of global faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) germplasm revealed by ISSR markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 124(5): 789-797.
- Wolfe, M., Fradgley, N., Winkler, L. and Doring, T., 2013. Beans and wheat intercropping: a new look at an overlooked benefit. *Organic Research Centre Bulletin*, 112: 8-9.
- Worku, W. and Demisie, W., 2012. Growth, light interception and radiation use efficiency response of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) to planting density in Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Agronomy*, 11, 85–93.
- Yalemtesfa Firew Guade, 2017. Effect of Intra-Row Spacing on Growth and Development of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Variety Roma-VF under the Irrigated Conditions of East Gojjam Zone, Ethiopia. *American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 17(2): 174-178.
- Yamane, A. and Skjeltvåg, O.A., 2003. Effects of fertilizer phosphorus on yield traits of Dekeko (*Pisum sativum* var. *abyssinicum*) under field conditions. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 189: 14-20.
- Yirga Weldu, Mitiku Haile and Kiros Habtegebriel, 2012. Effect of zinc and phosphorus fertilizers application on yield and yield components of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) grown in calcaric cambisol of semi-arid northern Ethiopia. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*. 3(12): 320-326.
- Yohannes D., Kiros H. and Yirga W., 2014. Inoculation, Phosphorus and Zinc fertilization effects on nodulation, Yield and nutrient uptake of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) grown on Calcaric Cambisols of Semiarid Ethiopia. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management* 6(1): 9-15.
- Yucel, D.O., 2013. Optimal intra-row spacing for production of local faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cultivars in the Mediterranean conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 45(6): 1933-1938.

- Zhao, J., Sykacek, P., Bodner, G. and Rewald, B., 2018. Root traits of European *Vicia faba* cultivars Using machine learning to explore adaptations to agro-climatic conditions. *Plant Cell Environment*. 41 (9), 1984-1996.
- Zong, X., Liu, X., Guan, J., Wang, S., Liu, Q. and Paull, J. G., 2009. Molecular variation among Chinese and global winter faba bean germplasm. *Theory Applied Genetics*. 118: 971-978.

## 7. APPENDIXS

Appendix Table 1: Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for the effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on number of days to 50% flowering (DTF), number of days to 90% maturity (DTM), total number of nodules per plant (TNN), number of effective nodules per plant (ENN) and stand count at emergence (SCE) of faba bean.

| Source of Variation                 | df | Days to 50% Flowering | Days to 90% maturity  | Total number of nodules | Effective number of nodules | Stand count at emergence |
|-------------------------------------|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Replication                         | 2  | 1.764                 | 23.597                | 5.82                    | 0.0089                      | 8.375                    |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>       | 3  | 39.13 <sup>**</sup>   | 139.593 <sup>**</sup> | 431.28 <sup>**</sup>    | 17.6452 <sup>**</sup>       | 3.236 <sup>ns</sup>      |
| Population (P)                      | 5  | 21.122 <sup>**</sup>  | 37.722 <sup>**</sup>  | 149.04 <sup>**</sup>    | 7.2702 <sup>**</sup>        | 1.558 <sup>ns</sup>      |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> X Pop | 15 | 2.33 <sup>ns</sup>    | 4.248 <sup>ns</sup>   | 129.62 <sup>**</sup>    | 1.0021 <sup>ns</sup>        | 0.425 <sup>ns</sup>      |
| Error                               | 46 | 4.141                 | 7.452                 | 12.34                   | 0.7034                      | 1.071                    |
| CV (%)                              |    | 3.6                   | 2.0                   | 2.3                     | 5.4                         | 1.1                      |

Pop = population density, CV= Coefficient of Variation and \*\*, \*, and ns represent significant variation at  $P < 0.01$ , and  $P \leq 0.05$  levels and non-significant variation, respectively.

Appendix Table 2: Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for the effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on stand count at harvest, total leaf area per plant (LA), leaf area index (LAI) and plant height (PH (cm)) of faba bean.

| Source of Variation                 | df | Stand count at harvest | Leaf area(cm <sup>2</sup> ) | Leaf area index       | Plant height (cm)    |
|-------------------------------------|----|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Replication                         | 2  | 2.431                  | 51.75                       | 0.00662               | 3.29                 |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>       | 3  | 0.611 <sup>ns</sup>    | 183.68 <sup>**</sup>        | 0.26985 <sup>**</sup> | 754.25 <sup>**</sup> |
| Population (P)                      | 5  | 12.89 <sup>**</sup>    | 93.36 <sup>**</sup>         | 2.04816 <sup>**</sup> | 364.63 <sup>**</sup> |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> X Pop | 15 | 1.356 <sup>ns</sup>    | 28.15 <sup>ns</sup>         | 0.24697 <sup>**</sup> | 15.74 <sup>ns</sup>  |
| Error                               | 46 | 2.271                  | 14.18                       | 0.02131               | 30.83                |
| CV (%)                              |    | 1.7                    | 6.7                         | 5.2                   | 3.0                  |

Pop = population density, CV= Coefficient of Variation and \*\*, \*, and ns represent significant variation at  $P < 0.01$ , and  $P \leq 0.05$  levels and non-significant variation, respectively.

Appendix Table 3: Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for the effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on number of primary branches per plant (NPB), number of pods per plant (PPP) and seeds per pod (SPP) and above ground dry biomass yield of faba bean.

| Source of Variation                 | df | Number of primary branches | Pods per plant       | Seeds per pod         | Dry biomass yield kilogram per hectares |
|-------------------------------------|----|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Replication                         | 2  | 0.05389                    | 0.348                | 0.07389               | 577810                                  |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>       | 3  | 0.49185 <sup>**</sup>      | 40.551 <sup>**</sup> | 0.43611 <sup>**</sup> | 24667925 <sup>**</sup>                  |
| Population (P)                      | 5  | 0.65156 <sup>**</sup>      | 12.573 <sup>**</sup> | 0.35922 <sup>**</sup> | 7372586 <sup>**</sup>                   |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> X Pop | 15 | 0.31141 <sup>**</sup>      | 3.852 <sup>ns</sup>  | 0.11833 <sup>**</sup> | 3462271 <sup>**</sup>                   |
| Error                               | 46 | 0.04287                    | 1.863                | 0.03447               | 363588                                  |
| CV (%)                              |    | 6.6                        | 5.4                  | 5.7                   | 4.1                                     |

Pop = population density, CV= Coefficient of Variation and <sup>\*\*</sup>, <sup>\*</sup>, and <sup>ns</sup> represent significant variation at  $P < 0.01$ , and  $P \leq 0.05$  levels and non-significant variation, respectively.

Appendix Table 4: Analysis of variance table showing mean square values for the effect of phosphorus rate and plant population on hundred seed weight (100SW), seed moisture content grain yield kilogram per hectare and harvest index (HI) of faba bean.

| Source of Variation                 | df | Hundred seed weight (100SW) | Seed moisture%       | Yield kilogram per hectares (kg ha) | Harvest index (%)    |
|-------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Replication                         | 2  | 4.49                        | 0.4555               | 93562                               | 2.221                |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>       | 3  | 79.65 <sup>**</sup>         | 3.2406 <sup>*</sup>  | 1305342 <sup>**</sup>               | 18.900 <sup>**</sup> |
| Population (P)                      | 5  | 56.91 <sup>**</sup>         | 3.4178 <sup>**</sup> | 218653 <sup>*</sup>                 | 67.199 <sup>**</sup> |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> X Pop | 15 | 48.49 <sup>**</sup>         | 3.4132 <sup>**</sup> | 138257 <sup>*</sup>                 | 6.393 <sup>**</sup>  |
| Error                               | 46 | 10.91                       | 0.518                | 51236                               | 1.018                |
| CV (%)                              |    | 4.6                         | 4.3                  | 8.3                                 | 5.3                  |

Pop = population density, CV= Coefficient of Variation and <sup>\*\*</sup>, <sup>\*</sup>, and <sup>ns</sup> represent significant variation at  $P < 0.01$ , and  $P \leq 0.05$  levels and non-significant variation, respectively.

Appendix Table 5: Total costs for each treatment per hectare in Ethiopian birr (ETB) for faba bean.

| <b>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>kg ha<sup>-1</sup></b> | <b>UREA kg ha<sup>-1</sup></b> | <b>Fertilizer cost</b>                |                 |           | <b>For seed and labour</b> | <b>TVC (Birr)</b> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------|
|                                                     |                                | <b>For P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub></b> | <b>For UREA</b> | <b>FA</b> |                            |                   |
| 0                                                   | 20                             | 0                                     | 300             | 50        | 28699.521                  | 29049.521         |
| 0                                                   | 20                             | 0                                     | 300             | 50        | 28724.497                  | 29074.497         |
| 0                                                   | 20                             | 0                                     | 300             | 50        | 28731.713                  | 29091.713         |
| 0                                                   | 20                             | 0                                     | 300             | 50        | 28742.873                  | 29102.873         |
| 0                                                   | 20                             | 0                                     | 300             | 50        | 28760.914                  | 29110.914         |
| 0                                                   | 20                             | 0                                     | 300             | 50        | 28770.802                  | 29120.802         |
| 23                                                  | 20                             | 391                                   | 300             | 100       | 28803.698                  | 29594.698         |
| 23                                                  | 20                             | 391                                   | 300             | 100       | 28809.514                  | 29600.514         |
| 23                                                  | 20                             | 391                                   | 300             | 100       | 28829.252                  | 29620.252         |
| 23                                                  | 20                             | 391                                   | 300             | 100       | 28836.196                  | 29627.196         |
| 23                                                  | 20                             | 391                                   | 300             | 100       | 28841.308                  | 29632.308         |
| 23                                                  | 20                             | 391                                   | 300             | 100       | 28843.316                  | 29634.316         |
| 46                                                  | 20                             | 782                                   | 300             | 150       | 28878.778                  | 30110.778         |
| 46                                                  | 20                             | 782                                   | 300             | 150       | 28881.202                  | 30113.202         |
| 46                                                  | 20                             | 782                                   | 300             | 150       | 28888.922                  | 30120.922         |
| 46                                                  | 20                             | 782                                   | 300             | 150       | 28893.666                  | 30125.666         |
| 46                                                  | 20                             | 782                                   | 300             | 150       | 28900.462                  | 30132.462         |
| 46                                                  | 20                             | 782                                   | 300             | 150       | 28907.406                  | 30139.406         |
| 69                                                  | 20                             | 1173                                  | 300             | 200       | 28932.518                  | 30605.518         |
| 69                                                  | 20                             | 1173                                  | 300             | 200       | 28934.526                  | 30607.526         |
| 69                                                  | 20                             | 1173                                  | 300             | 200       | 28959.888                  | 30632.888         |
| 69                                                  | 20                             | 1173                                  | 300             | 200       | 28962.312                  | 30635.312         |
| 69                                                  | 20                             | 1173                                  | 300             | 200       | 28973.032                  | 30646.032         |
| 69                                                  | 20                             | 1173                                  | 300             | 200       | 28976.776                  | 30649.776         |

P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> = 1700 birr / quintal; UREA = 1500 birr/quintal; FA for fertilizer application cost; TC for Total costs of fertilizers and others; ETB for Ethiopian birr; fertilizer application cost 50 birr/ day/person; transportation cost for fertilizer from Union to field 80 birr/ quintal; transportation cost for Seed yield 70 birr/ half quintal.